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## STUDY OBJECTIVES

The Columbus-Phenix City MPO Congestion Management Process (CMP) Plan will identify the overall level of congestion in the region, based on congestion and mobility measures, as well as other data sources, and will focus on potential improvement projects in the most congested areas. The primary purpose of the Congestion Management Process (CMP) Plan is to rate the performance of transportation facilities in the Columbus area and to recommend cost effective strategies to alleviate congestion.

In Spring 2003, the first initial Congestion Management Process Plan for the ColumbusPhenix City Metropolitan Organization (C-PCMPO) was developed; Figure 1-1 depicts the C-PCMPO planning area.

This study is the third update to the CMP plan, which was undertaken by the C-PCMPO staff in September and October of 2004, with subsequent updates in the Spring and Fall of 2005. As laid down in the previous CMP plans, congestion monitoring should occur on all "regionally significant" roadway and transit facilities, with data collected continuously to identify the location and extent of congestion on these facilities

## STUDY TASKS

Activities undertaken during the development of the Congestion Management Process Study are broken down into five tasks, as follows:

1. Identify New Congested Corridors
2. Define Congestion Mitigation Strategies
3. Development of Congestion Related Performance Measures
4. Data Collection and Monitoring
5. Summary of Findings and Recommendations.

## STUDY SCHEDULE

Data gathering for the Columbus-Phenix City MPO Congestion Management Process Plan Study was initially conducted in September and October of 2004, with subsequent updates in the Spring and Fall of 2005.

As a continuous process, this study was again conducted in February and March of 2007, with the report findings being released in mid Spring. The study will be subsequently repeated in the Fall of 2008 with the updated report being released in Winter, 2008.


## BACKGROUND

Columbus, Georgia was founded in 1828 on the fall line of the Chattahoochee River, along the western-most border of the State of Georgia. Initially a mill town, Columbus was home to many cotton cloth mills that utilized the river to transport goods from Columbus to the Gulf of Mexico. During World War I, Columbus was home to the School of Musketry, which later became Fort Benning.

As the industrial age diminished, Columbus started to attract service and technology jobs. Today, Columbus is home to many insurance, bankcard processing, and medical jobs. With a strong local economy, and abundant cultural and entertainment resources Columbus is a desirable place to live, work and raise families.

In the coming years, Fort Benning will see its ranks grow as a result of the Army armor school being relocated there by a decision of the military Base Realignment Commission (BRAC). Over the next four years, this shift is expected to result in up to 45,000 new residents (military personnel, military contractor services and their family members) moving into the MPO region. If the full estimate of new residents is reached, it would be equivalent to a $20 \%$ jump in the regional population. The findings in this report will help guide policy makers in decision making on siting for new housing, zoning and funding transportation improvements to accommodate the increased population.

The Columbus-Phenix City Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Columbus-Phenix City area is a bi-state organization -- the Georgia participants are: Columbus (Muscogee County), Chattahoochee County and Ft. Benning, while the Alabama participants are: Phenix City, and Lee and Russell Counties. Annually, the MPO prepares the Unified Work Program (UPWP), which identifies all transportation planning activities agreed upon to be performed by the MPO participants and funded by Federal Grants and State Contracts. The mission of the MPO is to facilitate multi-modal transportation planning and infrastructure improvements in a coordinated, comprehensive and continuous manner for the Columbus-Phenix City Metropolitan Area.

## CURRENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

The Columbus-Phenix City Metropolitan Planning Organization maintains a work program developed in accordance with Federal and State planning guidelines. This document, known as the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), details the use of Federal, State and local dollars on transportation projects in the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) study area. The TIP is a subset of the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), a planning document that investigates the transportation needs of the Columbus area and develops a plan to address those needs. The development of long range transportation plan must be accomplished utilizing a comprehensive, cooperative and continuing process.

A Congestion Management Process is a decision support tool in the development of the LRTP. The Congestion Management Process is especially helpful in identifying transportation deficiencies, transportation needs and priorities related to congestion within the MPO planning boundaries. Figure 1-2 depicts the locations of projects in the Columbus-Phenix City MPO area currently in progress or in the programming process.

## OVERALL INTENT

The intent of the Congestion Management Process is to protect the region's investment in, and improve the effectiveness of, the existing and future transportation networks. This is achieved by using the Congestion Management Process to provide decision makers with information about transportation system performance and alternative strategies to reduce congestion, and enhance the mobility of persons and goods. Recommendations on strategies considered most appropriate for congested locations in the Area will be developed during later tasks in the Study.

## WHAT IS A CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS PLAN?

A Congestion Management Process is a continuous cycle of transportation planning activities designed to provide decision-makers with better information about transportation system performance and the effectiveness of alternative strategies to deal with congestion. A Congestion Management Process may be considered as consisting of four main components:

- Measurement and identification of congestion;
- . A matrix of congestion mitigation strategies;
- Monitoring of effectiveness after implementation; and
- An orderly evaluation process.

The federal highway authorization bill known as Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) requires that congestion relief be considered in the selection of transportation improvement projects, and that all urbanized areas with populations in excess of 200,000 (termed Transportation Management Areas [TMAs]) develop and implement a Congestion Management Process (CMP). Further, Federal requirements state that in all TMAs, the CMP shall be developed and implemented as part of the metropolitan planning process

As shown in Figure 2-1 the components of Congestion Management Process form a continuous cycle of transportation planning activities. By monitoring the effectiveness of congestion mitigation strategies and evaluating their benefits in an orderly, consistent manner, planners and decision-makers can improve their ability, over time, to select the most cost-effective strategies appropriate to their specific local conditions and needs.


Figure 2-1
Congestion Management Process: A Cyclical Process
The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has issued guidelines on what constitutes a fully operational Congestion Management Process. ${ }^{1}$ The guidelines are summarized under the following steps:

- System Monitoring and Identification of Congested Locations;
- Performance Measure Development;
- Identification of Congestion Causes;
- Identification and Ranking of Mitigation Strategies;
- Implementation of Strategies; and
- Monitoring of Effectiveness.


## SYSTEM MONITORING

With respect to congestion management planning, system monitoring is an all inclusive term meant to encompass all the various activities that transportation planners engage in to collect data relevant to transportation system performance. System monitoring should occur on all "regionally significant" roadway and transit facilities, with data collected continuously to identify the location and extent of congestion on these facilities.

With respect to roadways, this would include facilities classified as arterial 123 CFR 500.109(b). or higher. System monitoring activities typically incorporate one or more of the following:

## Floating Car Travel Time / Delay Collection:

This method of data collection involves recording the time and position of a vehicle "floating" within the traffic stream at control points along a roadway facility. The speed / time / delay data may be obtained via a tape recorder or stopwatch. However, maximum flexibility in data reduction and presentation can be achieved by using proven Global Positioning System (GPS) technology to simultaneously record and store the time and position of the floating vehicle at intervals of up to $1 / 10$ second.


This method of data collection is currently used for Columbus-Phenix City MPO Congestion Management Process planning activities. We utilized Garmin ETrex GPS receivers connected to laptop computers running TS-PP Draft software under the Windows XP operating system. The TS-PP Draft software captured and organized the raw data from the receiver to show average vehicle speed over time as well as delays encountered.

## Traffic Count Collection / Analysis:

Traffic count data was used from GDOT, ALDOT as well as Columbus Consolidated Government counters to monitor roadway system performance. Often, travel time / delay runs will highlight segments along a route, or at an intersection, where traffic counts may need to be collected. These "as-needed" counts are an important component of the system monitoring process.

Time / delay runs and traffic counts serve as integral inputs to the third mechanism to monitor system performance:

## Regional Travel Demand Model:

The regional travel demand model can serve a two fold purpose with respect to monitoring system performance. First, it provides a method of determining speed and volume values on facilities not directly observed under either of the system monitoring processes described above. Second, it allows for the forecasting of future traffic congestion along broadly defined roadway corridors or activity center areas.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Performance measures (and associated threshold values) are used to identify congested conditions at individual locations, or within corridors and activity centers. These adopted measures are the primary means by which congestion information is communicated among transportation professionals and the general public. Therefore, care must be taken in the selection, organization and presentation of these measures so that they are:

- Clearly understood;
- Sensitive to all travel modes;
- Sensitive to time;
- Supported by data that are neither costly nor difficult to collect;
- Supported by data that may be forecast into the future and able to measure the effects of strategies meant to mitigate congestion.
- FHWA also suggests that selected performance measures be categorized as follows:

1. Those that measure congestion (facility-based measures, such as V/C ratios);
2. Those that measure mobility (travel time-based measures);
3. Those that measure accessibility (activity-based measures, such as the number of jobs within 35 minutes of a particular facility, or within $1 / 2$ mile of a transit stop);
4. Those that measure system efficiency (measures that provide an overall assessment of system wide performance, such as the number of congested lane-miles, or VMT under congested conditions).

## CAUSES OF CONGESTION

The causes of congestion at problem locations and within problem corridors or activity centers are identified. Sometimes the cause of congestion is not readily apparent from the collection and analysis of system performance data. In such cases, field visits to the congested site are necessary to make the determination.

## MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Mitigation Strategies are identified through an evaluation process that addresses the identified cause of congestion at a particular location or area, giving the least priority to strategies that add single-occupant vehicle (SOV) capacity. The highest-ranking strategies that address congestion at a particular location are then incorporated within the TIP development process.

## MONITORING OF EFFECTIVENESS

Finally, implemented strategies are then monitored for their effectiveness as part of ongoing system monitoring (transportation system performance data collection) activities.

## INTRODUCTION

A key task in the development of a Congestion Management Process is the identification and structuring of congestion mitigation strategies in a fashion that is easily understood by not only technical staff, but also the general public. This chapter provides a focused discussion of those strategies thought most applicable to the congestion problems identified in the Columbus-Phenix City MPO area during the course of this study.

## STRATEGY CLASSES

Strategy classes represent broad groupings of individual strategies and improvement measures. The strategies in this discussion have been broken into the following twelve classes, as identified in the Federal Congestion Management Process Final Rule ${ }^{2}$ for the Congestion Management Process:

1. Transportation demand management (TDM) measures
2. Traffic operations improvements
3. Measures to encourage high occupancy vehicle (HOV) use
4. Public transit capital improvements
5. Public transit operational improvements
6. Measures to encourage the use of non-motorized modes
7. Congestion pricing
8. Growth management
9. Access management
10. Incident management
11. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)
12. General purpose capacity expansion

For each strategy class, groups of distinct strategies have been identified, as well as representative measures of effectiveness (MOEs) to assess the pre- or post implementation effectiveness of a given strategy group. It is important to note that Congestion Management Process guidelines do not specify that all possible strategies be analyzed for every location of congestion. Only those that could potentially mitigate congestion at the given location in a reasonable manner should be analyzed.
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Table 3-1
Congestion Mitigation Strategy Classes and Groups

| STRATEGY CLASS | STRATEGY GROUP | REPRESENTATIVE STRATEGIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Transportation Demand Management | A. Ride sharing programs | Ride share matching, Marketing and promotion, Vanpool Operations. |
|  | B. Alternative Work Arrangements | Telecommuting, Flextime or compressed workweeks, Staggered work hours. |
|  | C. Transit/Carpool Incentives | Employer-paid transit passes, Subsidized vanpool |
|  | D. Parking Management | Preferred carpool/vanpool parking, Carpool/Vanpool parking discounts, Increased parking fees |
|  | E. Guaranteed Ride Home (GRH) Programs | Used in conjunction with vanpool or HOV programs to provide participants a ride home in event of emergency, thus alleviating their perception that they need to drive their personal vehicle daily as a contingency for such situations. |
| 2. Traffic Operational Improvements | A. Improved signalization patterns | Signal retiming, coordinated systems, demand responsive systems |
|  | B. Roadway geometry improvements | Turn lanes, channelization, acceleration/deceleration lanes, bus turnouts, lane widening, one-way couplets, grade separation. |
|  | C. Time of Day Restrictions | Turning restrictions, parking restrictions, truck access restrictions |

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY
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STRATEGY CLASS
STRATEGY GROUP

## REPRESENTATIVE STRATEGIES

| 2. Traffic Operational Improvements (continued) | D. Ramp Metering | Localized ramp metering, coordinated ramp metering, demand responsive metering, HOV bypass metering. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E. Commercial Vehicle Improvements | Commercial vehicle facilities, intermodal facilities, geometric improvements, truck routes |
|  | F. Construction Management | Management plans, detour signing improvements, advance information of closures and alternate routes. |
| 3. HOV Measures | HOV Priority Systems and Support Services | HOV priority lane, HOV ramps, transit signal priority, park and ride facilities. |
| 4. Transit Capital Improvements | A. Exclusive Right of Way Facilities | Commuter rail rapid transit, light rail busways, bus lanes, bus bypass ramps. |
|  | B. Fleet Improvements | Fleet expansion, vehicle replacement/upgrades, transit vehicle management systems, vehicle type changes. |
|  | C. Transit support facilities | Park and ride facilities, transit centers, improved stations/stop facilities |
| 5. Transit Operational Improvements | A. Transit Service Improvements | Increased frequency, add stops, modify operating hours, express routes, route modification |
|  | B. Transit Marketing/Information | Marketing programs, agency coordination, transit information systems |
|  | C. Fare Incentives | Fare reductions, fare packages |
|  | D. Traffic Operations for Transit | Traffic signal priority, signal coordination, bus turnouts, railroad crossing coordination |

STRATEGY CLASS

| 6. Non-Motorized Modes | A. Bike/ped infrastructure improvements | Bike lanes, bike/ped paths, bike route marking, sidewalks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B. Bike/ped support services | Bike rack/lockers, transit vehicle bike carriers, employer showers, bike/ped planning, bike maps |
| 7. Congestion Pricing | A. Road user fees | Tolls, time of day pricing, HOV facility fees |
|  | B. Parking fees | Surcharges, time of day pricing. |
| 8. Growth Management | A. Compact development | Density standards |
|  | B. Redevelopment/Plan | Site reclamation/reuse, incentives to develop in areas with existing infrastructure. |
|  | C. Mixed use development | Zoning regulations |
|  | D. Jobs/Housing balance | Zoning regulations |
|  | E. Transit-Oriented Development | Density standards, bicycle/pedestrian access, design requirements |
|  | F. Corridor land use \& transportation coordination | Intergovernmental agreements |
| 9. Access Management | A. Driveway management | Policies and standards, side street/alley access, shared access/common driveways |
|  | B. Median management | Policies and standards, establishing medians, bidirectional turn lanes |
|  | C. Frontage roads |  |

STRATEGY CLASS
STRATEGY GROUP

## REPRESENTATIVE STRATEGIES

| 10. Incident Management | A. Incident Detection | Emergency traffic patrols, emergency monitoring, roadway detectors/surveillance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B. Incident response | Emergency vehicle priority, emergency traffic patrols, communication systems protocol. |
|  | C. Incident clearance | Emergency response teams, service patrols |
|  | D. Incident Information/routing | Highway advisory radio, alternative route planning, variable message signs. |
| 11. Intelligent Transportation System | A. Advance Traffic Management Systems | Freeway management, traffic signal control, emergency management, |
|  | B. Advance Traveler Information Systems | Multi-modal regional traveler information. |
|  | C. Advance Public Transportation Systems | Vehicle management systems, automated vehicle location systems, electronic fare payment. |
|  | D. Commercial Vehicle Control Systems | Weight-in-motion system, electronic credential checking. |
|  | E. Advance Vehicle Control Systems | Collision avoidance system. Vehicle guidance system. |
| 12. General Purpose Capacity Expansion | A. Expressway lanes, | Additional lane(s) built for existing facilities or construction of new facilities. |
|  | B. Arterial lanes |  |
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## INTRODUCTION

Performance measures provide the basis for evaluating transportation system operating conditions and for identifying the location and severity of congestion. Performance measures typically used in a Congestion Management Process Plan development are discussed in detail. The Chapter concludes with a discussion of measures appropriate to the current Columbus-Phenix City MPO Congestion Management Process Plan.

## TYPICAL MOES FOR CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS

As noted in the previous chapter, Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) typically considered in Congestion Management Process plans include:

- Travel Time Measures (Vehicle Hours Traveled by Mode, Delay and Speed);
- Volume-to-Capacity Ratios;
- Annual Traffic Counts;
- Intersection Level of Service;
- Percentage of Households and Employment within " $X$ " miles of a Bus Route;
- Percentage of Households and Employment within " $X$ " miles of an Interchange;
- Transit System Measures (ridership, reserve capacity, etc.);
- Vehicle Occupancy; and
- Incident Measures.

Of these MOEs, Travel Time Measures are often used as the primary MOE for use in Congestion Management Process Plan development. Volume-to-Capacity Ratios are also often used as a secondary MOE. MOEs are frequently selected based upon consideration of the following factors:

- Availability of data from existing sources;
- Ease of data collection and processing;
- Applicability of those measures in quantifying system performance; and
- Ability of the performance measure to help forecast future system deficiencies.

The following pages go on to describe the various measures used in the development of the current study.

## DESCRIPTIONS OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

## CONGESTION MEASURES

Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) Ratio ${ }^{3}$
Due to the wide availability of volume and capacity figures, as well as the straight forward nature of the measure, Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) ratios are widely used as general measures of congestion in transportation planning. The Transportation Research Board's (TRB) Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) has established relationships between V/C ratio and traffic operation, and is a standard guide in the field.

V/C ratios are typically available from regional travel demand models and/or traffic count program, and may be analyzed at the link and corridor levels of analysis.

## Travel Time and Travel Speed ${ }^{4}$

Travel time and travel speed are closely related measures that can be used to illustrate the reduction in mobility people experience during congestion. Travel time and speed experienced under congested conditions can be compared to those found in free flow operating conditions to assess the magnitude of congestion. The speed reduction index is an example of using travel time/speed data in this fashion. The duration of congestion can also be determined by measuring the reduced travel speeds over a period of time.

Travel time and speed are relatively easily obtained from model forecast data, and may also be directly observed through "floating car" travel time runs. Some surveillance detectors (occupancy loop or video detection), or signal control detectors can also provide speed data.

This data may be summarized at any analysis level desired: link, corridor or region-wide.

## SYSTEM EFFICIENCY MEASURES ${ }^{4}$

Vehicle Miles under Congested Conditions
Vehicle miles traveled is defined as the number of miles traveled by a vehicle in each trip and is a direct output of regional travel demand models. VMT can be reported for a link, corridor, major activity center or region wide. In this process, we compared the number of miles of roadway found to be congested during our study to the cumulative system mileage of all roadways subject to the study. VMT is a good indicator of travel demand, as well as air quality emissions. VMT projections readily allow for comparisons between various alternatives of a given scenario, and can also report the frequency of travel between two defined areas. While VMT can report travel by different modes, the measure cannot be used to make comparisons between various modes. As a measure of performance, VMT is best used when:

- Comparing similar links, corridors, and areas;
- Comparing system scenarios in different planning years; and
- Evaluating highway-related project alternatives.

INCIDENT (NON-RECURRING CONGESTION) MEASURES ${ }^{5}$

- Accident Location and Frequency
- Incident-Related Delay
- Incident Duration
- Incident measures differ from the other performance measures, which all attempt to measure recurring congestion. An attempt should be made to measure incident congestion, which accounts for much of the congestion experienced in Columbus.

Due to the nature of incidents (which include accidents or special events), this information is very difficult to obtain in a systematic way.

[^0]

## CHAPTER 5 DATA COLLECTION

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes the data collection activities undertaken for the Columbus-Phenix City MPO Congestion Management Process. It covers new data collected by the study team, such as travel time surveys, the use of existing data and other data such as additional traffic counts, obtained from other government agencies. The processing of these data and the generation of Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) are also described.

## TRAVEL TIME SURVEYS

Travel Time Surveys were conducted along arterial routes throughout the ColumbusPhenix City Metropolitan area. Surveyed routes were determined in joint consultation with the Columbus-Phenix City MPO and the consultant. The surveys were conducted between September and November 2004.

## Objectives

The purpose of the surveys was to measure travel speed during the peak travel periods, namely the AM peak period (approximately 6:30am to 8:30am), off peak period (10am to 3 pm ) and the PM peak period (approximately 4:30pm to 6:30pm).

Delays caused by traffic signals or other traffic conditions were also recorded. The travel time surveys were designated to provide MOEs that measure both congestion levels, such as delays and speed reduction ratios, and mobility, such as travel times.

Routes Surveyed
Travel Time Surveys were conducted along a total of 21 routes, as shown in Table 5-1.
MPO Staff members identified the critical time of day and conducted surveys in both directions along each route. The 21 routes covered a total of 135 miles of roadway, 9 of which are major arterials in the Columbus-Phenix City Metropolitan area.

Individual routes ranged in length from 1.65 miles to 14.45 miles. In total, 270 miles of roadway were surveyed (both directions) during the 8 -week period of data collection

Table 5-1
Columbus Congestion Management Process - Data Collection

| Road Segment | Road <br> Length <br> (miles) | Sample <br> Size | From: | To: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | 3.71 | 6 | Fourth Ave. | Manchester Expwy |
| St. Mary's Road | 3.54 | 6 | Robin Road | Fort Benning <br> Boundary |
| Whitesville Road | 3.77 | 6 | Airport Thruway | Williams Road |
| Whittlesey Blvd. | 1.50 | 6 | Bradley Park (west) | Veterans Parkway |
| River Road | 4.48 | 6 | Veterans Parkway | Double Churches <br> Road |
|  <br> Moon Road | 4.71 | 6 | Whitesville Road | Miller Road <br> US Hwy 280 |
| Forest Road | 4.62 | 6 | Lee Road | Veterans Parkway |
| Double Churches <br> Rd. | 2.98 | 6 | Macon Road | Schatulga Road |
| Fort Benning <br> Road/Brennan <br> Road | 3.30 | 6 | Saint Marys Road | Victory Drive |
| Buena Vista Road | 7.11 | 6 | Macon Road | Schatulga Road |
| J.R. Allen Parkway <br> (US Hwy 80) | 8.01 | 6 | US 280 | Flat Rock Road |
| Victory Drive | 6.5 | 6 | Veterans Parkway | Interstate 185 |
| Bradley Park Drive | 1.65 | 6 | River Road | Whitesville Road |
| Lee - Summerville <br> Road | 10.91 | 6 | US 280 | Fifth Avenue South |
| Macon Road | 9.72 | 6 | 10 | Avenue |
|  <br> 13 $3^{\text {th }}$ Street | 14.45 | 6 | SR-169 | Macon Road |
| Veterans Parkway | 12.13 | 6 | Wooldridge Road | Victory Drive |
| Manchester <br> Expressway | 6.86 | 6 | Second Avenue | Miller Road |
| Warm Springs Road | 11.42 | 6 | Veterans Parkway | County Line Road |
|  <br> Airport Thruway | 6.15 | 6 | River Road | Miller Road |



Travel time and speed data was collected via Global Positioning System (GPS) technology, in conjunction with TS/PP Draft, transportation planning software which can read the current position and speed of the vehicle. This information is used to record trip logs and generate comparative travel time and delay reports.

The survey vehicles, standard passenger cars, were operated by C-PCMPO staff members. During peak data collection weeks, three cars were in operation. The driver used the floating car technique to ensure the vehicle traveled at a speed representative of the typical vehicle for that time of day and specific route travel.

A GPS unit was attached to a computer and set up in the vehicle to record GPS current location and travel speed. Some of the recorded data included:

- GPS location of a predetermined checkpoint along the route, such as a signalized intersection;
- Distance from one segment on the route to the next (segments divided by check points);
- Stopped time at a signalized or sign controlled intersection; and
- Delay along each segment, based on user-specified parameters (segment distance and free flow speed)

A GPS card within the laptop computer used signals from a series of earth-orbiting satellites to continuously monitor the location of the survey vehicle. For each run, a file of GPS data was created with both spatial and temporal information, including the location and time of each of the recorded events.

## DATA PROCESSING

GPS data files were processed and imported into Excel® spreadsheets. The predetermined checkpoints along the route were used to divide each route into manageable segments. The number of segments on a particular route varied from 3 (Whittlesey Road) to 21 (Veterans Parkway).

Based upon the location of each checkpoint, the survey vehicle's progress along each segment was recorded in terms of travel time along each segment, distance between checkpoints, and delay in travel time from previous node (checkpoint) based on user specified design speed. These readings are just a few of the data collected by the TP/SS Draft software.

At a minimum, three runs per direction were taken along each route during the AM and PM peak periods, while at least one run per direction was taken during the off-peak period. From this data, the average speed of travel along each segment and for the whole route was calculated. Travel delay times were also computed from the free flow speed, distance between segments and the average segment travel speed.

## CONGESTION CATEGORIES

Each section on the route was assigned one of five congestion categories. The principal criterion used was the percentage of free flow speed observed during the travel time survey. This percentage was calculated as:

Percentage of free flow speed (FFS) = Observed speed
Free-flow speed
The free-flow speed was taken to be the speed limit on that segment of the route. The levels of congestion were described as follows:

- Serious - percent FFS $<40 \%$
- Congested - percent FFS $\geq 40 \%$ and $<50 \%$
- Marginal - percent FFS $\geq 50 \%$ and $<65 \%$
- OK - percent FFS $\geq 65 \%$
- Good - percent FFS $\geq 80 \%$


## Other factors

(a) Free-flow speeds for the routes in Muscogee County were determined based on data from the road characteristic database provided by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT). Free-flow speeds were assigned as follows:

- $26-35 \mathrm{mph}=30 \mathrm{mph}$
- $46-55 \mathrm{mph}=50 \mathrm{mph}$
- $36-45 \mathrm{mph}=40 \mathrm{mph}$
- $56-65 \mathrm{mph}=60 \mathrm{mph}$
(b) Free-flow speeds for routes in Lee and Russell Counties were based on the posted speed limits, using the same speed intervals noted above.

Sample Results:
Table 5-2
PM Peak Run Along Manchester Expressway

|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion |
|  | (seconds) | $\mathbf{( m p h})$ |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  |
| River Road | 49 | 21.4 | MARGINAL | 22 | 27.5 | OK |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 67 | 19.2 | CONGESTED | 67 | 20.7 | CONGESTED |
| Woodruff Road | 90 | 17.2 | SERIOUS | 105 | 15.2 | SERIOUS |
| Armour Road | 112 | 21.9 | CONGESTED | 19 | 30.9 | OK |
| I-185 | 4 | 36.2 | OK | 21 | 20.9 | CONGESTED |
| Warm Springs Road | 87 | 24.1 | CONGESTED | 49 | 30.1 | MARGINAL |
| Miller Road | 8 | 60.3 | OK | 32 | 53.4 | GOOD |

Table 5-2 shows sample results from the travel time surveys. The results of the PM peak period speed runs along Manchester Expressway are shown. The route surveyed begins on the west at 2nd Avenue and runs 6.86 miles to the Miller Road exit. Starting from 2nd Avenue heading eastward:

- the segment is 0.46 miles in length.
- the free-flow speed (Free Flow) is 40 mph .
- free-flow time (FF Time) is 0.70 minutes.


## TRAFFIC COUNTS

Traffic count data was obtained from Columbus Consolidated Government, GDOT and ALDOT. Figure 5-2 illustrates the free-flow speeds along the survey routes. Figure 5-3 shows the estimated 2005 AADT values at these locations.

The actual level of service or degree of congestion experienced on a particular roadway is dependent upon many more variables than the number of lanes and functional class. These variables include signal timing and coordination, proportion of turning vehicles, frequency of driveways and median cuts, directional distributions and peak-hour factors to mention a few. The impact of these factors is reflected in the average travel speeds measured during the travel time surveys.

For this reason, the percent reduction in free flow speed was selected as the primary MOE for the Columbus Congestion Management Process study.


Table 5-3 - Multilane Highway Capacities (Adapted from Table 21-2 of the Highway Capacity Manual)

| Free Flow Speed | Capacity/Lane | 4 Lane Divided | 6 Lane Divided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60+\mathrm{mph}$ | 2200 | 8800 | 13200 |
| 55 mph | 2100 | 8400 | 12600 |
| 50 mph | 2000 | 8000 | 12000 |
| 45 mph | 1900 | 7600 | 11400 |

Table 5-4 - Divided and Undivided Roadway Capacities (Adapted from Chapter 20 of the Highway Capacity Manual)

|  | Undivided Roadways |  |  |  |  | Divided Roadways |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Flow <br> Speed | Capacityl <br> Lane | 2 Lane | 3 Lane | 4 Lane | 5 Lane | 4 Lane | 6 Lane |
| 30 mph | 1200 | 2200 | 2400 | 4300 | 4800 | 4800 | 6000 |
| 35 mph | 1300 | 2400 | 2600 | 4700 | 5200 | 5200 | 6500 |
| 40 mph | 1400 | 2600 | 2800 | 5100 | 5600 | 5600 | 7000 |
| 45 mph | 1500 | 2800 | 3000 | 5500 | 6000 | 6000 | 7500 |
| 50 mph | 1600 | 3000 | 3200 | 5900 | 6400 | 6400 | 8000 |
| 55 mph | 1700 | 3200 | 3400 | 6300 | 6800 | 6800 | 8500 |

Volume to Capacity (V/C) ratios were calculated for each of the count stations located on the survey routes. Nominal 24-hour capacities were developed from standard roadway ADT capacities, using the Highway Capacity Manual as a guide. These capacities are comparable to those used in many transportation-planning models for urban areas. The two-way capacities that were utilized for this analysis are shown in Tables 5-3 and 5-4. These capacities are a function of the roadway's Functional Classification and number of lanes.

V/C ratios have been estimated as a secondary MOE to assist in prioritizing improvements at locations found to be congested based on reductions in free flow speeds. Within a group of locations with similar levels of congestion and causes, those with higher V/C ratios should be tackled first.

An additional reason for selecting V/C ratios as a secondary MOE is that it may easily be projected to future years. The traffic volume and corresponding capacities may be run for future year conditions to identify locations with high or rapidly increasing V/C ratios. This information, combined with existing travel time survey results can be used to identify locations where improvements will be required in the future or where more frequent monitoring of congestion is warranted.

V/C estimates, based on the capacities shown in the tables above are shown in Figure $5-4$. As noted above, V/C ratios are not necessarily a precise indication of congestion, but it is instructive to review those locations with V/C $>0.7$.



## CHAPTER 6 CONGESTION IN THE MPO STUDY AREA

## INTRODUCTION

The Columbus Consolidated Government with its first Congestion Management Process provided an opportunity to develop a routine system evaluation program to collect performance data at structured congestion management planning.

For this assessment, congested corridors in the Columbus-Phenix City area have been identified through different components. It is important to look at congestion based on different sources of data, such as comparing calculated V/C ratios with data obtained from travel time surveys. The components used in determining the highlighted congested corridors were:

- Travel Time Surveys;
- . V/C ratios;
- Average Daily Traffic Volume;
- Top 50 Accident Locations; and
- Meeting with Columbus-Phenix City MPO officials.
- In this Chapter, the results of the Travel Time Surveys showing congested locations are listed, together with potential causes of congestion.
- Mitigation strategies and their associated impact on Congestion Management Process performance measures are also noted.


## OVERVIEW OF THE RESULTS

Travel Time Surveys were conducted during three different time periods (AM, OFF, and PM Peak). Each segment of the roadway was allotted one of five congestion categories. These categories, in order of increasing congestion are:

- Good
- OK
- Marginal
- Congested
- Serious

As discussed in Chapter 5, the congestion levels were developed based on the ratio of observed travel speed to free flow speed. The following figures show congestion categories for each roadway as well as other details, such as the top 50 accident locations. The buffered areas in Figure 6-5 highlight some select corridor segments based on congestion levels as well as isolated locations, which should be the area of focus for relieving congestion.

- Figure 6-1 - Peak Hour Congestion Levels
- Figure 6-2 - Frequency of Accidents at Locations (1999-2004)
- Figure 6-3 - Top 50 Accident Locations (2004)
- Figure 6-4 - Peak Hour Congestion Levels, Accident Locations \& V/C Ratios
- Figure 6-5 - Areas of Focus on Selected Routes


As can be seen from Figure 6-1, the overall level of congestion for the ColumbusPhenix City Metro Area can be categorized as OK. The majority of the roadways record a 'Good or OK' level of congestion. However, there are roadway segments, which have levels of congestion listed as 'Serious' or 'Congested'. Some of them, for example, Whittlesey Road, can be attributed to the rapid increase in new retail activity ahead of the addition of road capacity to support it.

Other areas that were highlighted include Manchester Expressway, from River Road to Miller Road. Some of the delays along Manchester Expressway can be attributed to the spacing and lane shifts between signalized intersections, coupled with the long queues that are formed during peak travel periods.

In addition, 2nd Avenue between 8th and 15th Streets, Whitesville Road between Airport Thruway and Bradley Park Drive, Macon Road between Boxwood Blvd and Forest Road, Veterans Parkway between Airport Thruway and the US 80 Ramps, Whittlesey Road between Whitesville Road and Veterans Parkway, Warm Springs Road between Hilton Avenue and Warm Springs Connector, US 280 between the ramps for the J.R. Allen Parkway and Crawford Road are segments with either serious or congested conditions.

## RESULTS BY ROUTE

The remainder of this Chapter provides a summary of the results of Travel Time Surveys along all 20 routes. All the routes were reviewed for level of congestions, number of accident locations and high V/C ratios. Results are summarized, potential causes of congestion are identified and mitigation strategies and their associated impact on Congestion Management Process performance measures are noted.

The graphical representations on Chart 5-7 shows congestion levels for PM peak period.




COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS 2007 UPDATE
FIGURE 6-4: TOP 50 ACCIDENT LOCATIONS IN MUSCOGEE COUNTY BETWEEN 2000-2004


COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS 2007 UPDATE
FIGURE 6-5: AREAS OF FOCUS


## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/

2nd AVENUE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VICTORY DRIVE TO
MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY

## CONGESTION

| LEVELS | Level of Service |
| :--- | :--- |
| Serious | A-F letter grades |
| $\square$ Congested | Accident |
| Marginal | Locations |
| Okay |  |
| Good |  |


|  | Distance | Southbound | Northbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th St. | 0.23 | GOOD |  |
| 6th St. | 0.39 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| 9th St. | 0.26 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| 11th St. | 0.27 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| 13th St. | 0.13 | GOOD | SERIOUS |
| 14th St. | 0.41 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| 17th St. | 0.50 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 23rd St. | 0.29 | OK | GOOD |
| 28th St. | 0.26 | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| 32nd St. | 0.20 | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| 35th St. | 0.17 | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| 38th St. | 0.31 | OK | OK |
| 42nd St. | 0.26 | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| Manchester |  |  | MARGINAL |



Northern
Manchester Expwy. to 27th


Middle 27th to 14th St.


Southern 14th St. to Victory

## Potential Causes for Congestion:

- AM and PM Traffic in and out of downtown leading to congestion especially between 8th and 23rd Sts.
- Street parking and pedestrian crossings causes travel delay and increases incident risk.
- Inconsistency in the number of lanes (drop from 4 to 2 lanes) along the route causes hindrance in the free-flow of traffic
- Signal Co-ordination improvements are (drop from 4 to 2 lanes) along the route needed in the downtown portion of the route.


## VI-7

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

metropolitan planning organization/

2nd AVENUE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VICTORY DRIVE
TO MANCHESTER EXPWY.

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures


## VI-8



54TH STREET \& AIRPORT THRUWAY CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WARM SPRINGS ROAD

## CONGESTION <br> LEVELS $\square$ Serious $\square$ Congested $\square$ Marginal $\square$ Okay $\square$ Good



Above: Western half of 54th Street—River Road to I-185
Below: Eastern half from I-185 to Miller Road


Potential Causes for Congestion:

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

|  |  |  | Arterial/Intersection |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lravel Time |  |  |  |\(\left.\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Transit System <br>

Measures\end{array}\right] $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Incident } \\
\text { Management }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

## VI-9

- Heavy traffic volume in the vicinity of Veterans Park way and I-185.
- Intersection geometry anomalies such as the heavy left turn volume onto Veterans Parkway from WB Airport Thruway causes traffic backup along the route.
- High accident locations at intersections along Airport Thruway between Veterans Parkway and I-185.
- Numerous businesses along Airport Thruway leads to issues with accessing businesses.


## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METR OPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/

BRADLEY PARK DRIVE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WHITESVILLE ROAD

## CONGESTION CONGES <br> Serious Congested Marginal <br> Okay <br> Good

 Level of ServiceA-F letter grades
Accident
Locations


|  | MILEAGE | WESTBOUND | EASTBOUND |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Road |  | MARGINAL |  |
| Brookstone <br> Parkway | 0.68 | GOOD | OK |
| Belfast Avenue | 0.6 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Whittlesey <br> Road | 0.13 | SERIOUS | MARGINAL |
| Whitesville <br> Road | 0.18 |  | SERIOUS |

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance

| Btadley Park Drive | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Mgmt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Traffic Operational Imp. | - | $\triangle$ | - | - | - |
| Growth Management | - | - | - |  | - |
| Access Management | - | - | - | - | - |
| Intelligent <br> Transportation | - | - | - | - | - |

## Potential Causes for Congestion

- Congestion occurring in proximity to Whitesville Road and Whittlesey Road due to high volumes of retail activity.
- High turning volumes at Bradley Park/Green Island Road and River Road intersection, causing delays on side roads and southbound River Road.


## VI-10

BUENA VISTA ROAD CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 FROM WYNNTON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD


Potential Causes For Congestion:

- Two schools along the route add to traffic delays.
- High number of turning vehicles into retail areas near I-185.
- Absence of two-way (center) turn lanes along the 2-lane segment of the route.
- Regular train stoppages at railroad crossing at Buena Vista/Andrews/St. Marys/Brennan Road back up traffic significantly and disrupt traffic flow


Above: Western side of Buena Vista Road


Above: Eastern side of Buena Vista Road: I-185 interchange to Schatulga Road.

|  | Distance <br> (miles) | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wynnton Road | - | - | GOOD |
| Brown Avenue | 0.55 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| Andrews Road | 1.18 | OK | GOOD |
| Saint Marys Road | 0.17 | OK | OK |
| Steam Mill Road | 0.45 | MARGINAL | CONGESTED |
| I-185 interchange | 0.55 | OK | OK |
| McBride Drive | 1.10 | GOOD | OK |
| Schatulga Road | 2.60 | GOOD | - |

BUENA VISTA ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
WYNNTON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Buena Vista Road | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/Intersection LOS LOS | Transit System <br> Measures | Incident <br> Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | - | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imp. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transit Oper. Imp | A | - | A | - | A |
| Access Management | A | - | A | - | - |
| Capacity Expansion | A | - | A | - | - |

V-12

DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 RIVER ROAD to FORTSON ROAD


Potential causes of congestion:

- School zone speed limits around intersection of Double Churches and Whitesville affects overall level of service for roadways when activated.
- New commercial and residential development around intersections with Fortson Road and Whitesville is resulting in more volume.
Distance

| (Miles) | Eastbound | Westbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Road | - | -- | MARGINAL |
| Britton Drive | 0.23 | OK | GOOD |
| Edgewater Dr | 0.78 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Whitesville Road | 0.49 | MARGINAL | OK |
| Fortson Road | 1.42 | OK | - |

DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD to FORTSON ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures
$\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|c|c|}$\cline { 2 - 6 } \& Travel Time \& V/C Ratio \& Arterial \& Transit System <br> LOS\end{array} $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Incident } \\ \text { Measures }\end{array}\right]$

## VI-14



FOREST ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
MACON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD


## Potential causes for congestion

- Two schools along the route add volume to roadway as well as utilize reduced speed limits.
- High number of turning vehicles into adjacent residential streets and houses.
- Reduced capacity at locations where 4 lanes transition into 2 lanes.
- Absence of left turn lanes along the two lane segment of the route.

|  | Distance (miles) | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schatulga Road |  | GOOD |  |
| Woodruff Farm | 1.98 | OK | OK |
| Elm Drive | 1.6 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| Macon Road | 0.65 |  | OK |

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

|  | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/Intersection | Transit System | Incident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LOS | Measures | Management |
| TDM Measures | A | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Traffic Operational Imp. | A | A | A | - | A |
| Access Management | A | A | - | - | A |
| Capacity Expansion | A | A | A | - | - |

FORT BENNING ROAD \&
BRENNAN ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
BUENA VISTA ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE

- High number of turning vehicles into adjacent residential streets and houses and commercial properties.
- Reduced capacity as 4 lanes get converted into 2 lanes
- Lack of center turn lanes along the 2-lane route
- Volume to Capacity issues along the route on both eastbound and westbound lanes


|  | Distance (mi.) | SOUTHBOUND | NORTHBOUND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buena Vista Road |  |  | MARGINAL |
| Brennan Road | 0.01 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| Old Cusseta | 0.40 | OK | MARGINAL |
| Baker Plaza | 0.36 | GOOD | OKAY |
| Albian Way | 0.46 | OK | GOOD |
| Levy Road | 0.42 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Victory Drive | 0.08 | MARGINAL |  |

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact Upon CMP Performance Measures

| Ft. Benning/Brennan Roads | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/Intersection <br> LOS | Transit System <br> Measures | Incident <br> Management |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |
| Traffic Operation Imp. | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |  |
| Access Management | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ |  |
| Capacity Expansion | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ |  |



VI-16

LEE ROAD/SUMMERVILLE ROAD
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. DRIVE CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WHITESVILLE ROAD


|  | Distance (miles) | Southbound | Northbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd Street South | 0.41 | GOOD | GOOD |
| US 280 | 1.10 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Broad Street | 1.11 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Dillingham Street | 0.08 | SERIOUS | GOOD |
| 13th Street | 0.53 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 14th Street | 0.13 | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| North Railroad St | 0.34 | OK | GOOD |
| 21st Street | 0.31 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 25th Street | 0.35 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 30th Street | 0.50 | GOOD | OK |
| US 80 (underpass) | 0.80 | GOOD | CONGESTED |
| 44th Street | 0.36 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Fletcher Street | 0.47 | GOOD | SERIOUS |
| Pierce Road | 0.77 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Lee Road 318 | 1.98 | GOOD | GOOD |
| US 280 | 2.13 | GOOD | GOOD |

Left Above: Segment from US 280 at Smith Station to US 80 Bypass Right Above: Segment from US 80 bypass to US 280

## Potential Causes for Congestion

- One school along the route adds to the traffic volume. The absence of turn lanes into the school creates travel time delays.
- Reduced capacity as 4 lanes are reduced into 2 lanes.
- Absence of center turn lanes along the 2-lane segments.
- Poorly planned curb cuts.
- Heavy turning volume onto US80 from Stadium Drive.
- Heavy traffic volume between North Railroad Street and 13th Street.

LEE ROAD/SUMMERVILLE ROAD/
MARTIN LUTHER KINGJR. DRIVE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures


MACON ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 TENTH STREET TO FLAT ROCK ROAD

\section*{CONGESTION <br> | LEVELS | Level of Service |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square$ Serious | A-F letter grades |
| $\square$ | Congested |
| Marginal | $\Delta$ |
| Occident |  |
| Okay |  |
| Good |  |
| Locations |  |}


|  | Distance (mi) | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10th St. |  |  | GOOD |
| Buena Vista | 0.59 | MARGINAL | OK |
| Peacock Ave | 0.53 | OK | GOOD |
| 13th St | 0.44 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| I-185 | 1.15 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| Forest Road | 0.28 | CONGESTED | CONGESTED |
| Elm Drive | 0.35 | SERIOUS | OK |
| Reese Road | 1.24 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| Woodruff <br> Farm | 1.69 | GOOD | OK |
| Miller Road | 0.65 | OK | GOOD |
| Flat Rock Rd. | 1.02 | GOOD |  |



VI-19

MACON ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
TENTH STREET TO FLAT ROCK ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Macon Road | Travel Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Arterial Intersection/LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | - | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Operations Imp. | A | A | A | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Growth Management | - | - | A | - | - |
| Access Management | - | A | A | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Intelligent Transportation | A | - | A | - | - |

## VI-20

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METR OPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

## MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY

 CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007SECOND AVENUE TO MILLER ROAD


Potential causes of congestion:

- Heavy traffic volume in the vicinity of I-185.
- 3 of the top 10 accident locations are along this route.
- $\quad \mathrm{AM}$ and $P M$ traffic volume is high, particularly between Veterans Parkway and I-185.
- Heavy cross traffic turning volumes due to numerous job sites in area.

|  | Distance Traveled | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second Avenue |  |  | GOOD |
| River Road | 0.47 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.51 | CONGESTED | CONGESTED |
| Woodruff Road | 0.62 | MARGINAL | OK |
| Armour Road | 0.53 | MARGINAL | CONGESTED |
| I-185 | 0.20 | GOOD | SERIOUS |
| Warm Springs | 1.02 | MARGINAL | OK |
| Miller Road | 2.70 | GOOD |  |



Above: Second Avenue to Woodruff section.


Above: Woodruff to Warm Springs section. Below: Warm Springs to Miller Road section.


VI-21

MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
SECOND AVENUE TO MILLER ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures


## VI-22



MOON ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO MILLER ROAD

## CONGESTION



Serious
Level of Service A-F letter grades
Congested
Marginal
Okay
Good

|  | Distance | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Veterans Parkway | 0.72 | OK | MARGINAL |
| US 80 | 0.97 | OK | GOOD |
| Weems Road | 0.97 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Miller Road | 0.82 |  | GOOD |

Potential Causes for Congestion:

- Area between I-185 and Fortson Road experiences the largest average delays across the typical day.
- The largest overall delay was the southbound direction between US 80 and Weems Road in the PM Rush Hour
- Lack of center turn lanes along route.
- Lack of bicycle/pedestrian facilities in the residential areas.
- Volume to capacity issues along route.


Left: Veterans Parkway to Whittlesey Boulevard segment.

Below: Whittlesey Boulevard to Miller Rd segment


## MOON RD

CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO MILLER ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Moon Road | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Operational Imp. | A | $\checkmark$ | A | - | - |
| Growth Management | A | A | A | - | A |
| Non Motorized Modes | A | $\checkmark$ | A | - | - |



RIVER ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD
TO VETERANS PARKWAY

|  | Distance <br> (miles) | Southbound | Northbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Double <br> Churches | - | -- | GOOD |
| Mobley Road | 0.65 | OK | MARGINAL |
| Bradley Park | 1.09 | OK | GOOD |
| Manchester <br> Expwy | 0.96 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 39th Street | 0.49 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Veterans <br> Pkwy | 0.36 | MARGINAL | - |



## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

SAINT MARYS ROAD CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 ROBIN RD TO FORT BENNING BOUNDARY
CONGESTION


## Level of Service A-F letter grades

Potential Causes of Congestion:

- Congestion is regularly occurring eastbound along the route due to increased residential development.
- The absence of a center turn lane results in left turning traffic stopping in eastbound travel lane waiting for gaps in oncoming traffic, which causes queues to quickly form behind them.
- Transportation improvement is programmed for this corridor

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

- Construction is underway between Brennan Road and Robin Road to widen road from two to four lanes.
- Project s to increase capacity in the segment from Robin Road to North Star Drive is currently under study

|  |  | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robin Road |  | - | GOOD |
| $\mathrm{I}-185$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | CONGESTED | GOOD |
| Wickham | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| End of Road | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | GOOD | - |



US 80-THIRTEENTH STREET CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
JOWERS ROAD TO MACON ROAD


Above: Western segment-from Jowers Road to Broad St.



| Jowers Road | 2.51 | - | GOOD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lee Road 212 | 2.99 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Woodland Drive | 0.63 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Winston Drive | 1.54 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 36th Avenue | 1.06 | SERIOUS | GOOD |
| Auburn Avenue | 0.12 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| US 280 Bypass | 0.53 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| Opelika Road | 0.35 | GOOD | OK |
| 17th Avenue | 0.50 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 10th Avenue | 0.60 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Broad Street | 0.32 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| Broadway | 0.43 | GOOD | OK |
| 2nd Avenue | 0.17 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy | 0.21 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| 10th Avenue | 0.41 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 13th Avenue | 0.31 | GOOD | OK |
| 18th Avenue | 0.44 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Macon Road | 0.73 | GOOD | - |



Potential causes for congestion:

- Heavy traffic headed in and out of downtown, with the heaviest
concentration between Second Avenue in Columbus and Broad Street in Phenix City.
- Heavy traffic volume at the intersection of US 80 and US 280. There are also issues with volume to capacity as well.
- Heavy turning volumes because of retail and other commercial establishments along the route.
- Blocks in between Veterans Parkway and Broadway are too short for queuing vol-

VI-27
Above: Middle section from Front St. to 18th St.
Below: From 18th St. to Macon Road
umes of vehicles on roadway at peak hours, causing added delay.

US 80-THIRTEENTH STREET
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
JOWERS ROAD TO MACON ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

|  | Travel Time | VIC Ratio | Arterial/Intersection LOS | Transit System Measurements | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | - | - | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$ | - |
| Traffic Operations Imp. | $\triangle$ | - | $\triangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Access Management | A | - | A | - | - |



US 80 - J.R.ALLEN PARKWAY CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 FROM US 280 to BEAVER RUN ROAD



|  | Travel Time | V/C <br> Ratio | Arterial/ Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Mgmt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | - | A | - | - | A |
| Growth Management | A | - | - | - | - |
| Access Management | A | - | A | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Intelligent Transportation | A | - | A | - | A |

Potential causes for congestion:

- Top accident location along ramps at Second Avenue interchange.
- Heavy traffic volume crossing between Georgia and Alabama.
- Level of service is dropping in some segments due to high volume of traffic.


VETERANS PARK WAY CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 WOOLDRIDGE ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE



Above: Double Churches Rd to Wooldridge Rd.


Above: W. Britt David Rd. to Double Churches Rd.


VETERANS PARK WAY CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 WOOLDRIDGE ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE

```
CONGESTION
LEVELS
```




Above: W. Britt David to 50th St.

|  | Mileage | Southbound | Northbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Britt David | 0.75 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Whitesville Road | 0.25 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| Airport Thruway | 0.46 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| 50th Street | 0.66 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Manchester Expwy. | 0.39 | OK | GOOD |
| Neil Drive | 0.63 | GOOD | OK |
| River Road | 0.32 | MARGINAL | OK |
| 29th Street | 0.38 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 23rd Street | 0.35 | GOOD | GOOD |
| 19th Street | 0.16 | OK | GOOD |
| 16th Street | 0.65 | GOOD | OK |
| 13th Street | 0.27 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| 9th Street | 0.52 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Victory Drive | 0.66 | GOOD | - |

VI-31


Above: 50th St. to Talbotton Right: Talbotton to Victory Dr.


Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Veterans Parkway | Travel <br> Time | VIC Ratios | Arterial/Intersection LOS | Transit System <br> Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | A | A | A | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imprv. | A | - | A | - | - |
| Non-Motorized Modes | A | $\checkmark$ | A | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Access Management | A | A | A | - | A |
| Intelligent Transportation | A | - | A | - | - |

VI-32

CONGESTION Okay Good

|  | Distance | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (miles) |  |  |
| I-185 | - | GOOD | - |
| Ft. Benning Rd. | 1.47 | GOOD | GOOD |
| South Lumpkin Rd. | 1.03 | OK | GOOD |
| North Lumpkin Rd. | 0.28 | OK | GOOD |
| 10th Avenue | 2.18 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.50 | - | GOOD |

Potential Causes for Congestion:

- Heavy peak volumes, especially between North Lumpkin Road and Fort Benning Road.
- Top accident locations along the route leading to incident delays.
- Intersection and roadway geometric issues.


Above: Veterans Parkway to North Lumpkin Road Below: North Lumpkin Road to I-185


VICTORY DRIVE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO I-185

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Victory Drive | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/ Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | A | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imp. | A | - | A | - | - |
| Growth Management | A | - | A | - | A |
| Access Management | - | - | A | - | A |
| Non Motorized Modes | A | - | A | - | - |

## VI-34

WARM SPRINGS ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO COUNTY LINE ROAD


## Potential Causes of Congestion

- Heavy traffic to/from the Central Business District.
- Roadway geometrics, multiple changes in lane widths from 2 to 4 lanes disrupt traffic flow.
- Lack of turn lanes create backups as delivery and industrial trucks pull in and out of businesses.
- School in vicinity add to the traffic causing delays.

|  | Distance | Westbound | Eastbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to 12th Avenue | 0.78 | OK | MARGINAL |
| to 17th Avenue | 0.68 | GOOD | OK |
| to Hilton Avenue | 0.61 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| to Armour Road | 0.45 | OK | OK |
| to I-185 (overpass) | 0.29 | MARGINAL | MARGINAL |
| to Manchester Expressway | 1.15 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| to Manchester Expressway | 1.01 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to Miller Rd. | 1.11 | GOOD | MARGINAL |
| to Blackmon Rd. | 1.08 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to Schomburg Rd. | 1.17 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to US 80 | 0.86 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to Pierce Chapel Rd. | 0.51 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to Lynch Rd. | 2.02 | GOOD | GOOD |
| to County Line Rd. | 0.70 | GOOD | GOOD |

WEST SECTION from Veterans Parkway to l-185


MIDDLE SECTION from I-185 to US 80 (above), EASTERN SECTION from US 80 to County Line Road (below)


WARM SPRINGS ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO COUNTY LINE ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

## Warm Springs Road

Traffic Oper. Imprv. Non-Motorized Modes Access Management Intelligent Transportation

| Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/Intersection <br> LOS | Transit System <br> Measures | Incident <br> Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |
| $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |
| $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ |  |

## VI-36

WHITESVILLE ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
WILLIAMS ROAD TO AIRPORT THRUWAY


Potential Causes of Congestion:

- Heavy peak volumes, especially between Airport Thruway and US 80
- Top accident locations in area along this route, leading to accident related delays.
- Heavy turning volumes because of retail and other commercial establishments along the route.
- Heavy turn volumes to and from Veterans Parkway.


Middle
Southern

VI-37

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impacts on CMP Performance Measures:

| Whitesville Road | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident <br> Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | ( | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Operational Imp. | - | - | - | - | - |
| Non Motorized Modes | - | - | - | - | - |
| Access Management | - | - | - | - | - |
| Intelligent Transportation | - | - | - | - | - |

WHITTLESEY ROAD CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 BRADLEY PARK DRIVE TO VETERANS PARKWAY


Potential causes for congestion:

- Higher volumes of traffic using road due to opening of Columbus Park Crossing shopping center.
- Left turn lane is inadequate for volumes for eastbound traffic at Veterans Parkway and westbound traffic at Bradley Park east.
- Roadway is scheduled for widening to four lanes with


| Intersecting Road | Distance <br> (miles) | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradley Park | 0.2 | - | OKAY |
| Whitesville Rd | 0.6 | GOOD | OKAY |
| Bradley Park | 0.28 | OK | CONGESTED |
| Veterans | 0.41 | SERIOUS | - |

VI-39

## WHITTLESEY ROAD

CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
BRADLEY PARK DRIVE TO VETERANS PARKWAY

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact On CMP Performance Measures

| Whittlesey Road | Travel Time | VIC Ratio | Arterial/Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity Expansion | - | - | - | - | - |
| Access Management | A | - | A | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Traffic Operational Improvement | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Non-Motorized Modes | - | A | - | - | - |

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/

WILLIAMS ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WHITESVILLE ROAD

|  | SERIOUS | Letters "A" - "F" $=$ Levals of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CONGESTED |  |
|  | MARGINAL |  |
| $\square$ | OKAY | NT |
|  | GOOD | ATION |


|  | Distance | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whitesville Road |  | OK | - |
| $\mathbf{1 - 1 8 5}$ | 0.48 | GOOD | OK |
| Fortson Road | 0.79 | OK | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.72 | OK | MARGINAL |

## Potential Causes of Congestion

- Area between I-185 and Fortson Road experiences the most delay during peak hours. Area with heavier concentration of residential development along the road.
- Lack of center turn lanes at strategic locations along this route.
- Lack of bike/pedestrian walkways, especially in the residential areas.


Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on
CMP Performance Measures


## VI-41

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/

US 280-ALABAMA
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO LEE ROAD VETERANS PARKWAY TO I-185

## CONGESTION



|  | Distance | Westbound | Eastbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veterans |  | - | GOOD |
| Broad Street | 0.30 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Brickyard Road | 0.53 | GOOD | GOOD |
| Crawford Road | 2.42 | OK | MARGINAL |
|  |  |  |  |
| Stadium Drive | 0.62 | MARGINAL | CONGESTED |
| US 80 North | 0.16 | GOOD | GOOD |
| US 80 South | 0.28 | OK | MARGINAL |
| Pierce Road | 1.68 | MARGINAL | GOOD |
| Lee Road | 2.64 | GOOD | - |

## Potential Causes for Congestion:

- Heavy traffic volume at the intersection of US 80 and US 280.
- Heavy turning volume onto US 80 from US 280.
- Heavy turning volumes because of retail and other commercial establishments along the route.


Above: Crawford Road north to Lee Road Below: Crawford Road to Veterans Pkwy


US 280—ALABAMA
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
VETERANS PARKWAY TO LEE ROAD

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Victory Drive | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/ Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | A | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imp. | A | - | A | - | - |
| Growth Management | A | - | A | - | A |
| Access Management | - | - | A | - | A |
| Non Motorized Modes | A | $\checkmark$ | A | - | $\checkmark$ |

## VI-43

## INTRODUCTION

The congestion management process study has categorized the extent of congestion for the individual sections of roadway along 20 routes in the Columbus area. Of the surveyed route miles $6 \%$ in the AM peak hours and $10 \%$ in the PM peak hours experiences a "congested" or "serious" rating. Table 7-1 below shows distribution of congestion categories for all the 20 routes.

## RECOMMENDED STRATEGIES

Recommended strategies to address the congestion found in the Columbus area were identified in Chapter 6. These recommendations, based on local knowledge and engineering judgment, are intended to highlight those strategies considered to be most appropriate to the location and situation were congestion was identified. All recommendations will require further study and evaluation before programming and implementation. The recommended strategies are not intended to limit the scope of further studies.

The recommended strategies are summarized in Table 7-2, according to the strategy classes and strategy groups described in Chapter 5.

## TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

The strategy recommended most frequently is that of traffic operation improvements. This strategy group consists of:
a) traffic signal improvements
b) roadway geometric improvements
c) time-of-day restrictions
d) ramp metering
e) commercial vehicle improvements; and
f) construction management.

This strategy is generally more efficient utilizing a combination of strategies along specific corridors. For example, in the Manchester Expressway corridor, west of I-185, a combination of signal timing / coordination enhancements coupled with geometric improvements could potentially greatly improve both accessibility and mobility.

Table 7-2:
Summary of Recommended Congestion Mitigation Strategies

## ROUTES

2nd Avenue
54th St./Airport Thruway
Bradley Park Drive Buena Vista Road Double Churches Forest Road Fort Benning/Brennan Lee/Summerville Macon Road Manchester Expwy River Road St. Marys Road US 280
US 80 (13th St.) US 80 (J.R. Allen Pkwy) Veterans Parkway Victory Drive Warm Springs Whitesville Road Whittlesey Road/Blvd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | x |  | X |  | x |  |  |
| X | X |  |  | x | X | x |  |
| X | X |  |  | X | X | X |  |
| X | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| X | X |  |  | x | X |  | X |
| x | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| X | X |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| x | X |  | x | x | X |  | X |
| X | X |  | X | X | x |  | X |
|  | X |  |  |  | x | X |  |
|  | X |  | x |  | x |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  | x |  | X |
| x | X |  |  | X | x | X |  |
| X | X |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| X |  |  |  | x | X | x |  |
| X | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| X | X |  | x | x | x |  |  |
|  | X |  | x |  | x | x |  |
| X | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |
| x |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |

## ACCESS MANAGEMENT

Access management is the second most recommended strategy group. This strategy encompasses such recommendations as shared access and inter-parcel connectivity. Access management techniques strive to preserve the functionality of a facility by controlling movement onto and off a facility to specified locations, and provide interparcel access without compelling motorists to re-enter the primary roadway facility.

## TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Travel Demand Management (TDM) is another highly recommended group of strategies. TDM generally consist of strategies aimed at moving trip making form the peak hour by offering alternate work schedules, telecommuting options and transit and/or carpooling incentives.

## TRANSIT OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

Transit operational improvements are recommended in the Veterans Parkway and Buena Vista road corridors and would consist of service related improvements and traffic operations for transit services.

## NON-MOTORIZED MODE

Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure improvement were recommended in five corridors and include the addition of sidewalks and/or bicycle lanes as well as signals for bicyclists and pedestrians.

## GROWTH MANAGEMENT

Growth management is an appropriate strategy in developing areas. Techniques such as land use and corridor transportation coordination could potential address future congested locations.

## INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) strategies are designed to inform motorist of traffic and travel conditions prior to arriving in congested conditions. The availability of this information could potential result in travel route changes that would avoid congested facilities. Other ITS strategies focus on non-reoccurring congestion such as incident management and incident response. The Columbus Consolidated Government will be constructing their ITS control center at the Government Annex building in late 2007, which will give the city the capability to observe developing congestion problems, take action by which to resolve it and alert motorists of the situation.

## CAPACITY EXPANSION

Widening of the segment of Whittlesey Road between Whitesville Road and Veterans Parkway is planned to occur following the completion of right of way acquisition in approximately eighteen months. Additionally, we recommend further consideration of placing additional lanes along segments of Buena Vista Road in Columbus and Lee/Summerville Road in Phenix City.

APPENDIX A
TIME TRAVEL SURVEY RESULTS

2nd AVENUE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

## 4TH AVENUE TO MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY



A-1


2nd AVENUE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


54TH STREET AND AIRPORT THRUWAY
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WARM SPRINGS ROAD

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Off Peak Hours |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Node | Delay <br> (seconds) | Speed <br> (mph) | CONGESTION | Delay <br> (seconds) | Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | CONGESTION | Delay <br> (seconds) | Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | CONGESTION |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morris Ave. | 9 | 31.9 | GOOD | 3 | 33.6 | GOOD | 2 | 34.3 | GOOD |  |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 66 | 16.8 | CONGESTED | 44 | 21.2 | MARGINAL | 86 | 15.3 | CONGESTED |  |
| Armour Rd | 42 | 24.1 | GOOD | 60 | 21.5 | MARGINAL | 61 | 22.2 | MARGINAL |  |
| W. Britt David | 23 | 25.1 | GOOD | 5 | 37.2 | GOOD | 14 | 30.2 | OK |  |
| Windsor Dr. | -8 | 43.1 | GOOD | -6 | 42.4 | GOOD | 1 | 41.4 | GOOD |  |
| Warm Springs Rd. | -1 | 31.3 | GOOD | 11 | 25 | GOOD | 28 | 22.2 | OK |  |


|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | Off Peak Hours |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Westbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Node | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | CONGESTION | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | CONGESTION | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | CONGESTION |
| Windsor Dr. | -2 | 29.6 | OK | 4 | 27.6 | OK | 51 | 19.1 | OK |
| W. Britt David | -39 | 37.1 | GOOD | -52 | 41.1 | GOOD | -15 | 32.2 | GOOD |
| Armour Road | 4 | 36.9 | GOOD | 3 | 37.3 | GOOD | 65 | 18.7 | CONGESTED |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 28 | 30.2 | OK | 89 | 19.5 | CONGESTED | 144 | 14.3 | SERIOUS |
| Morris Ave. | 8 | 34.3 | GOOD | 24 | 27.8 | OK | 25 | 27.3 | OK |
| River Road | 2 | 35 | GOOD | 0 | 34.8 | GOOD | 6 | 32.7 | GOOD |

54TH STREET AND AIRPORT THRUWAY
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
WARM SPRINGS ROAD TO RIVER ROAD
AM PEAK CONGESTION


54TH STREET AND AIRPORT THRUWAY
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO WARM SPRINGS ROAD
PM PEAK CONGESTION


BRADLEY PARK DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (sec) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Brookstone Parkway | 0.68 | 35 | 8 | 27.4 | OK | 7 | 26.8 | OK | -18 | 36.3 | GOOD |
| Belfast Avenue | 0.6 | 35 | 1 | 39.9 | GOOD | 13 | 33.4 | GOOD | 18 | 32.7 | GOOD |
| Whittlesey Road | 0.13 | 35 | 6 | 31 | GOOD | 18 | 19.7 | MARGINAL | 19 | 19.8 | CONGESTED |
| Whitesville Road | 0.18 | 35 | 34 | 17 | CONGESTED | 35 | 16.2 | CONGESTED | 45 | 10.8 | SERIOUS |


|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Westbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (sec) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (sec) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Whittlesey Road | 0.18 | 35 | 23 | 20.7 | MARGINAL | 7 | 28.7 | GOOD | 0.38 | 15.2 | SERIOUS |
| Belfast Avenue | 0.13 | 35 | 2 | 34.1 | GOOD | 10 | 27.3 | OK | 0.44 | 17.5 | CONGESTED |
| Brookstone Parkway | 0.6 | 35 | 6 | 37.7 | GOOD | 9 | 35.5 | GOOD | 0.88 | 35.2 | GOOD |
| River Road | 0.68 | 35 | 59 | 18 | OK | 26 | 22.3 | MARGINAL | 0.94 | 26.2 | GOOD |



BRADLEY PARK DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 PM PEAK CONGESTION


|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Wynnton Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown Avenue | 0.56 | 35 | 3 | 33.8 | GOOD | -5 | 38.9 | GOOD | 14 | 29 | GOOD |
| Andrews Road | 1.18 | 35 | 76 | 21.6 | GOOD | 10 | 33 | GOOD | 34 | 28.1 | OK |
| Saint Marys Road | 0.17 | 35 | 27 | 21.3 | GOOD | 18 | 23.5 | OK | 5 | 27 | OK |
| Steam Mill Road | 0.45 | 35 | 3 | 33.5 | GOOD | 1 | 34.7 | GOOD | 29 | 22.9 | MARGINAL |
| I-185 interchange | 0.55 | 35 | 27 | 27.4 | GOOD | 40 | 21.4 | MARGINAL | 19 | 26.9 | OK |
| McBride Drive | 1.10 | 35 | 72 | 22.5 | OK | 35 | 27.3 | OK | 19 | 31.6 | GOOD |
| Schatulga Road | 2.64 | 35 | -19 | 37.7 | GOOD | -57 | 44.3 | GOOD | -54 | 43.7 | GOOD |


|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Westbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | Speed <br> (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Schatulga Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| McBride Drive | 2.64 | 35 | 68 | 32 | OK | 12 | 38 | OK | 38 | 7 | GOOD |
| I-185 interchange | 1.10 | 35 | 71 | 25.4 | OK | 18 | 30.3 | OK | 30.3 | 68 | MARGINAL |
| Steam Mill Road | 0.55 | 35 | 10 | 29.7 | GOOD | 5 | 33 | OK | 33 | -3 | GOOD |
| Saint Marys Road | 0.45 | 35 | 20 | 25.3 | OK | 22 | 27 | GOOD | 27 | 30 | OK |
| Andrews Road | 0.17 | 35 | 10 | 22.9 | MARGINAL | 17 | 26.2 | GOOD | 26.2 | 245 | CONGESTED |
| Brown Avenue | 1.18 | 35 | 93 | 21.9 | MARGINAL | 56 | 25.4 | GOOD | 25.4 | 60 | OK |
| Wynnton Road | 0.56 | 35 | 45 | 20.1 | MARGINAL | 31 | 28.6 | GOOD | 28.6 | 29 | OK |

## A-10

BUENA VISTA ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
FROM WYNNTON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD
AM PEAK CONGESTION


## A-11

BUENA VISTA ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
FROM WYNNTON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD
PM PEAK CONGESTION


A-12

DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
RIVER ROAD TO VETERANS PARKWAY

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| River Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Britton Drive | 0.23 | 35 | 5 | 35.7 | OK | 4 | 37.1 | GOOD | 5 | 35.3 | OK |
| Edgewater Dr | 0.78 | 35 | 2 | 44.3 | GOOD | 0 | 46.1 | GOOD | 2 | 44.3 | GOOD |
| Whitesville Road | 0.49 | 35 | 35 | 26.1 | MARGINAL | 36 | 24.7 | MARGINAL | 44 | 23.5 | MARGINAL |
| Fortson Road | 1.42 | 35 | 38 | 34.9 | OK | 19 | 40.2 | GOOD | 48 | 33.3 | OK |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Period |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
|  |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Fortson Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whitesville Road | 1.42 | 35 | 61 | 30.8 | OK | 44 | 33.9 | OK | 68 | 29.7 | OK |
| Edgewater Dr | 0.49 | 35 | 13 | 34.2 | OK | 7 | 39 | GOOD | 9 | 37 | GOOD |
| Britton Drive | 0.78 | 35 | 3 | 43.8 | GOOD | 2 | 44.4 | GOOD | 6 | 42.1 | GOOD |
| River Road | 0.23 | 35 | 33 | 16.8 | SERIOUS | 12 | 27.4 | MARGINAL | 19 | 24.7 | MARGINAL |

## A-13

## DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD <br> CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 RIVER ROAD TO VETERANS PARKWAY

AM PEAK CONGESTION


A-14

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

Metropolitan planing organization

## DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD <br> CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 <br> RIVER ROAD TO VETERANS PARKWAY

PM PEAK CONGESTION


```
FOREST ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
MACON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD
```

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay econds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Woodruff Farm | 0.65 | 35 | 70 | 16.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CON- } \\ \text { GESTED } \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 29.3 | GOOD | 45 | 20.5 | MARGINAL |
| Elm Drive | 1.98 | 35 | 25 | 30.6 | GOOD | 66 | 24.9 | OK | 53 | 27.3 | OK |
| Schatulga | 1.6 | 35 | 81 | 29.2 | OK | 33 | 36.8 | GOOD | 35 | 36.5 | GOOD |


|  |  |  | AM Peak Period <br> Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period <br> Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | West- |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) |  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay cond | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Speed } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Congestion |
| Elm Drive | 1.6 | 35 | 86 | 28.9 | OK | 79 | 29.6 | OK | 74 | 30.7 | OK |
| Woodruff Farm | 1.98 | 35 | 86 | 25.5 | MARGINAL | 43 | 31 | OK | 54 | 29.1 | OK |
| Macon Road | 0.65 | 35 | 35 | 23.2 | OK | 5 | 31.7 | GOOD | 36 | 23.8 | OK |

## A-16

COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

FOREST ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 MACON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD AM PEAK CONGESTION


FOREST ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
MACON ROAD TO SCHATULGA ROAD
PM PEAK CONGESTION


FORT BENNING/BRENNAN ROADS
BUENA VISTA ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Southbound |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free Flow } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Brennan Road | 0.01 | 35 | 45 | 25.5 | OK | 38 | 26.7 | OK | 81 | 21.3 | MARGINAL |
| Old Cusseta | 0.40 | 35 | 4 | 23.8 | OK | 5 | 23.3 | OK | 5 | 23.7 | OK |
| Baker Plaza | 0.36 | 35 | 14 | 26.7 | OK | 12 | 27.4 | OK | 4 | 32 | GOOD |
| Albian Way | 0.46 | 35 | 20 | 24.8 | OK | 9 | 29.5 | GOOD | 25 | 23 | OK |
| Levy Road | 0.42 | 35 | 13 | 26.2 | OK | 0 | 35.3 | GOOD | 6 | 30.5 | GOOD |
| Victory Drive | 0.08 | 35 | 17 | 26 | OK | 41 | 17.8 | MARGINAL | 26 | 21.5 | MARGINAL |


|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Northbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Northbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Northbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Levy Road | 0.40 | 35 | 1 | 34.4 | GOOD | 2 | 33.5 | GOOD | 1 | 34.3 | GOOD |
| Albian Way | 0.36 | 35 | 1 | 34.6 | GOOD | 6 | 31 | GOOD | 0 | 34.8 | GOOD |
| Baker Plaza | 0.46 | 35 | 11 | 28.4 | GOOD | 13 | 27.9 | GOOD | 20 | 24.8 | OK |
| Old Cusseta | 0.42 | 35 | 27 | 22.5 | MARGINAL | 33 | 20.5 | MARGINAL | 42 | 18.4 | MARGINAL |
| Brennan Road | 0.08 | 35 | 4 | 23.1 | OK | 6 | 21.4 | MARGINAL | 6 | 21.2 | MARGINAL |

## A-19

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

FORT BENNING/BRENNAN ROADS
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
BUENA VISTA ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE
AM PEAK CONGESTION


## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

Metropolitan planning organization

FORT BENNING/BRENNAN ROADS CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 BUENA VISTA ROAD TO VICTORY DRIVE

PM PEAK CONGESTION


LEE ROAD/SUMMERVILLE ROAD/
MARTIN LUTHER KINGJR. DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
NORTHBOUND TRAFFIC
5TH STREET TO US 280

|  | Distance Free Flow <br> (miles) (mph) |  | AM Peak Period <br> Northbound |  |  | Off Peak Period <br> Northbound |  |  | PM Peak Period <br> Northbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Speed } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| 3rd Street South | 0.41 | 55 | -27 | 58.2 | GOOD | -31 | 60.1 | GOOD | -30 | 58.7 | GOOD |
| US 280 | 1.10 | 55 | -75 | 59.8 | GOOD | -76 | 61.2 | GOOD | -74 | 59.2 | GOOD |
| Broad Street | 1.11 | 35 | -77 | 41.3 | GOOD | -38 | 48.4 | GOOD | -23 | 41.2 | GOOD |
| Dillingham Street | 0.08 | 35 | 41 | 13 | SERIOUS | 12 | 19.1 | MARGINAL | 63 | 8.4 | SERIOUS |
| 13th Street | 0.53 | 30 | 13 | 27.2 | GOOD | 15 | 25.5 | GOOD | 30 | 20.5 | OK |
| 14th Street | 0.13 | 30 | 8 | 22.2 | OK | 27 | 12.6 | CONGESTED | 19 | 17.4 | CONGESTED |
| North Railroad St | 0.34 | 30 | 8 | 24.5 | GOOD | 15 | 22.1 | OK | 16 | 21.5 | OK |
| 21st Street | 0.31 | 30 | -8 | 40.3 | GOOD | -6 | 33.9 | GOOD | -4 | 32.6 | GOOD |
| 25th Street | 0.35 | 30 | -16 | 35.1 | GOOD | -6 | 32.8 | GOOD | -7 | 33.3 | GOOD |
| 30th Street | 0.50 | 30 | -13 | 35.7 | GOOD | -12 | 34.4 | GOOD | -11 | 34.1 | GOOD |
| US 80 (interchange) | 0.80 | 30 | 3 | 29.4 | GOOD | -4 | 30.5 | GOOD | 2 | 28.2 | GOOD |
| 44th Street | 0.36 | 35 | -8 | 35.5 | GOOD | -5 | 32.2 | GOOD | 3 | 28.8 | GOOD |
| Fletcher Street | 0.47 | 35 | -16 | 38.7 | GOOD | -17 | 39.3 | GOOD | -6 | 32 | OK |
| Pierce Road | 0.77 | 40 | -21 | 37 | GOOD | -27 | 39.3 | GOOD | -12 | 33.1 | GOOD |
| Lee Road 318 | 1.98 | 45 | -93 | 44.9 | GOOD | -103 | 47.3 | GOOD | -100 | 46.4 | GOOD |
| US 280 | 2.13 | 45 | -104 | 45.9 | GOOD | -97 | 51.2 | GOOD | -88 | 48 | GOOD |

A-22

LEE ROAD/SUMMERVILLE ROAD/
MARTIN LUTHER KINGJR. DRIVE
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC
US 280 TO FIFTH STREET

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Southbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Southbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Lee Road 318 | 2.13 | 45 | -27 | 58.2 | GOOD | -31 | 60.1 | GOOD | -30 | 58.7 | GOOD |
| Pierce Road | 1.98 | 45 | -75 | 59.8 | GOOD | -76 | 61.2 | GOOD | -74 | 59.2 | GOOD |
| Fletcher St | 0.77 | 40 | -77 | 41.3 | GOOD | -38 | 48.4 | GOOD | -23 | 41.2 | GOOD |
| 44th Street | 0.47 | 35 | 41 | 13 | SERIOUS | 12 | 19.1 | MARGINAL | 63 | 8.4 | SERIOUS |
| US 80 (interchange) | 0.36 | 35 | 13 | 27.2 | GOOD | 15 | 25.5 | GOOD | 30 | 20.5 | OK |
| 30th Street | 0.8 | 30 | 8 | 22.2 | OK | 27 | 12.6 | CONGESTED | 19 | 17.4 | CONGESTED |
| 25th Street | 0.5 | 30 | 8 | 24.5 | GOOD | 15 | 22.1 | OK | 16 | 21.5 | OK |
| 21st Street | 0.35 | 30 | -8 | 40.3 | GOOD | -6 | 33.9 | GOOD | -4 | 32.6 | GOOD |
| North Railroad Street | 0.31 | 30 | -16 | 35.1 | GOOD | -6 | 32.8 | GOOD | -7 | 33.3 | GOOD |
| 14th Street | 0.34 | 30 | -13 | 35.7 | GOOD | -12 | 34.4 | GOOD | -11 | 34.1 | GOOD |
| 13th Street | 0.13 | 30 | 3 | 29.4 | GOOD | -4 | 30.5 | GOOD | 2 | 28.2 | GOOD |
| Dillingham Street | 0.53 | 30 | -8 | 35.5 | GOOD | -5 | 32.2 | GOOD | 3 | 28.8 | GOOD |
| Broad Street | 0.08 | 35 | -16 | 38.7 | GOOD | -17 | 39.3 | GOOD | -6 | 32 | OK |
| US 280 | 1.11 | 35 | -21 | 37 | GOOD | -27 | 39.3 | GOOD | -12 | 33.1 | GOOD |
| 3rd Street South | 1.1 | 55 | -93 | 44.9 | GOOD | -103 | 47.3 | GOOD | -100 | 46.4 | GOOD |
| 5th Street | 0.41 | 55 | -104 | 45.9 | GOOD | -97 | 51.2 | GOOD | -88 | 48 | GOOD |

## A-23

## LEE ROAD/SUMMERVILLE ROAD/

MARTIN LUTHER KINGJR. DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION


LEE RD/SUMMERVILLE RD/
MARTIN LUTHER KINGJR. DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


MACON ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

## TENTH STREET TO FLAT ROCK ROAD

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Distance } \\ \text { (miles) } \end{gathered}$ | Free Flow (mph) | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Buena Vista | 0.59 | 30 | 13 | 28.6 | GOOD | 2 | 32.2 | GOOD | 54 | 18.7 | MARGINAL |
| Peacock Ave | 0.53 | 30 | 6 | 31.8 | GOOD | 21 | 27 | MARGINAL | 21 | 27.9 | OK |
| 13th St | 0.44 | 30 | 12 | 29.5 | GOOD | 38 | 21.5 | OK | 26 | 22.3 | MARGINAL |
| 1-185 | 1.15 | 30 | -5 | 36.8 | GOOD | 46 | 26.6 | MARGINAL | 82 | 20.9 | MARGINAL |
| Forest Road | 0.28 | 30 | 29 | 23.4 | OK | 14 | 30.1 | GOOD | 56 | 16.5 | CONGESTED |
| Elm Drive | 0.35 | 40 | 4 | 36.4 | GOOD | 16 | 28.8 | GOOD | 75 | 12.6 | SERIOUS |
| Reese Road | 1.24 | 40 | 0 | 39.9 | GOOD | 19 | 34.4 | GOOD | 10 | 38.5 | GOOD |
| Woodruff Farm | 1.69 | 40 | -30 | 49.8 | GOOD | -11 | 43.8 | GOOD | -7 | 42.7 | GOOD |
| Miller Road | 0.65 | 50 | 7 | 44.1 | GOOD | 23 | 34.6 | OK | 18 | 39.8 | OK |
| Flat Rock Rd. | 1.02 | 50 | 41 | 32.1 | MARGINAL | 15 | 42.5 | GOOD | 10 | 44.7 | GOOD |


|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Westbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miller Rd. | 1.02 | 50 | 15 | 41.8 | GOOD | 17 | 41.2 | GOOD | 11 | 46.4 | GOOD |
| Woodruff Farm | 0.65 | 50 | 33 | 30.6 | OK | 19 | 37.4 | MARGINAL | 29 | 34 | OK |
| Reese Rd. | 1.69 | 40 | 13 | 45.3 | GOOD | 3 | 49.1 | GOOD | 8 | 47.2 | GOOD |
| Elm Drive | 1.25 | 40 | 24 | 34 | GOOD | 23 | 33.8 | GOOD | 23 | 35.9 | GOOD |
| Forest Road | 0.34 | 40 | 9 | 33.8 | GOOD | 2 | 37.7 | GOOD | 4 | 37.4 | GOOD |
| -185 | 0.28 | 30 | 8 | 35.3 | SERIOUS | 57 | 12.4 | GOOD | 56 | 14.2 | CONGESTED |
| 13th St. | 1.15 | 30 | 21 | 29.7 | GOOD | 11 | 32 | GOOD | 63 | 23.2 | OK |
| Peacock Ave | 0.44 | 30 | 37 | 21.9 | MARGINAL | 31 | 20.9 | MARGINAL | 30 | 22.3 | MARGINAL |
| Buena Vista | 0.53 | 30 | 11 | 32.1 | OK | 23 | 25.6 | GOOD | 26 | 24.7 | OK |
| 10th Street | 0.58 | 30 | 17 | 28.4 | GOOD | 12 | 29.7 | GOOD | 14 | 29.3 | GOOD |

## A-26




MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
SECOND AVENUE TO MILLER ROAD

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance Free Flow <br> (miles) (mph) |  | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| River Rd. | 0.47 | 40 | 16 | 30.4 | OK | 38 | 25.8 | MARGINAL | 49 | 21.4 | MARGINAL |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.51 | 45 | 74 | 19.7 | CONGESTED | 51 | 20.5 | CONGESTED | 67 | 19.2 | CONGESTED |
| Woodruff Road | 0.62 | 45 | 33 | 28.9 | MARGINAL | 58 | 23.2 | MARGINAL | 90 | 17.2 | SERIOUS |
| Armour Road | 0.53 | 45 | 37 | 25 | MARGINAL | 14 | 35.1 | OK | 112 | 21.9 | CONGESTED |
| 1-185 | 0.20 | 45 | 4 | 36.6 | GOOD | 3 | 38.6 | GOOD | 4 | 36.2 | OK |
| Warm Springs Rd | 1.02 | 50 | 47 | 31.2 | MARGINAL | 43 | 32.5 | MARGINAL | 87 | 24.1 | CONGESTED |
| Miller Road | 2.70 | 65 | 16 | 57.6 | GOOD | 9 | 60.3 | GOOD | 8 | 60.3 | OK |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Westbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Westbound |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ \text { (mph) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | Speed <br> (Mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Warm Springs Rd. | 2.70 | 65 | 31 | 53 | GOOD | 11 | 59.5 | GOOD | 32 | 53.4 | GOOD |
| I-185 | 1.02 | 50 | 17 | 41.2 | GOOD | 55 | 28.8 | MARGINAL | 49 | 30.1 | MARGINAL |
| Armour Road | 0.20 | 45 | 73 | 8.3 | SERIOUS | 19 | 22.6 | CONGESTED | 21 | 20.9 | CONGESTED |
| Woodruff Road | 0.53 | 45 | 5 | 40.8 | GOOD | 11 | 36.1 | OK | 19 | 30.9 | OK |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.62 | 45 | 37 | 31.8 | OK | 58 | 22.2 | CONGESTED | 105 | 15.2 | SERIOUS |
| River Rd. | 0.51 | 45 | 87 | 14.4 | SERIOUS | 69 | 17.2 | SERIOUS | 67 | 20.7 | CONGESTED |
| Second Ave. | 0.47 | 40 | 65 | 16.1 | CONGESTED | 25 | 28 | OK | 22 | 27.5 | OK |

MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007

AM PEAK CONGESTION


MANCHESTER EXPRESSWAY
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
DOUBLE CHURCHES ROAD TO VETERANS PARKWAY

|  |  |  | AM PeakPeriodSouthbound |  |  | Off Peak Period <br> Southbound |  |  | PM PeakPeriodSouthbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Double Churches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobley Road | 0.65 | 35 | 15 | 37.3 | OK | 8 | 42.3 | GOOD | 18 | 37.3 | GOOD |
| Bradley Park | 1.09 | 35 | 41 | 35.8 | OK | 29 | 37.2 | OK | 17 | 41.3 | GOOD |
| Manchester Expwy | 0.96 | 35 | 63 | 32.8 | GOOD | 65 | 32.6 | OK | 70 | 32.2 | GOOD |
| 39th Street | 0.49 | 35 | 4 | 37.9 | GOOD | 7 | 35.1 | GOOD | 8 | 35 | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy | 0.36 | 35 | 36 | 22 | MARGINAL | 20 | 28.4 | OK | 11 | 34.5 | GOOD |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Northbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Northbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Northbound |  |  |
|  | Distance <br> (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free Flow } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| Veterans Pkwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39th Street | 0.35 | 35 | 7 | 34.2 | GOOD | 3 | 36.8 | GOOD | 7 | 34.1 | GOOD |
| Manchester Expwy | 0.48 | 35 | 34 | 25.2 | MARGINAL | 20 | 30.8 | OK | 42 | 21.8 | MARGINAL |
| Bradley Park | 0.63 | 35 | 2 | 32.9 | GOOD | -17 | 36.6 | GOOD | -14 | 36.1 | GOOD |
| Mobley Road | 1.09 | 35 | 19 | 41 | GOOD | 9 | 45.4 | GOOD | 9 | 45 | GOOD |
| Double Churches | 0.65 | 35 | 8 | 41.9 | GOOD | 4 | 45.2 | GOOD | 4 | 44.7 | GOOD |

A-32

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
RIVER ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION


COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
RIVER ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


SAINT MARYS ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
ROBIN ROAD TO FT. BENNING BOUNDARY


## A-35

## SAINT MARYS ROAD

 CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007AM PEAK CONGESTION


A-36

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
SAINT MARYS ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


A-37

## U.S. HWY 80 and 13th STREET <br> CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007 <br> EASTBOUND TRAFFIC SUMMARY

 JOWERS ROAD TO MACON ROAD
U.S. HWY 80 and 13th STREET

CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
WESTBOUND TRAFFIC SUMMARY
MACON ROAD TO JOWERS ROAD

|  |  |  |  | M Pea Period stbou |  |  | ff Pea Period estbou |  |  | PM Peak Period estbou |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance | Free Flow | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion |
|  | (miles) | (mph) | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  |
| Macon Road | 0.73 | 30 | 42 | 21.6 | OK | 35 | 22.2 | OK | 47 | 20.6 | OK |
| 18th Avenue | 0.44 | 30 | -6 | 34.3 | GOOD | -4 | 33.5 | GOOD | -4 | 33.3 | GOOD |
| 13th Avenue | 0.31 | 35 | 0 | 35.9 | GOOD | 25 | 24.2 | OK | 4 | 32.8 | GOOD |
| 10th Avenue | 0.41 | 35 | 5 | 33.3 | GOOD | 8 | 31 | GOOD | 45 | 20.1 | MARGINAL |
| Veterans Pkwy | 0.21 | 30 | 41 | 14.7 | CONGESTED | 65 | 9.5 | SERIOUS | 86 | 9.3 | SERIOUS |
| 2nd Avenue | 0.17 | 30 | 4 | 26.6 | GOOD | 21 | 18.9 | MARGINAL | 39 | 16.3 | MARGINAL |
| Broadway | 0.43 | 35 | 11 | 28.9 | GOOD | 51 | 16.2 | MARGINAL | 51 | 17.3 | MARGINAL |
| Broad Street | 0.32 | 35 | 9 | 30.6 | GOOD | 1 | 34.1 | GOOD | 14 | 27 | OK |
| 10th Avenue | 0.6 | 35 | 0 | 35.9 | GOOD | 5 | 32.9 | GOOD | 4 | 34.2 | GOOD |
| 17th Avenue | 0.5 | 35 | 6 | 33.7 | GOOD | 4 | 34.2 | GOOD | 9 | 30.9 | GOOD |
| Opelika Avenue | 0.35 | 35 | 29 | 26 | OK | 59 | 18.2 | MARGINAL | 40 | 17.3 | MARGINAL |
| US 280 Bypass | 0.53 | 45 | 10 | 37.7 | GOOD | 4 | 41.6 | GOOD | 12 | 36 | OKAY |
| Auburn Avenue | 0.12 | 45 | 12 | 33.9 | OKAY | 7 | 40 | GOOD | 3 | 45.3 | GOOD |
| 36th Avenue | 1.06 | 45 | -8 | 49.8 | GOOD | -26 | 52.8 | GOOD | -11 | 52 | GOOD |
| Winston Drive | 1.54 | 55 | -16 | 51.8 | GOOD | -1 | 47.9 | GOOD | -4 | 47 | GOOD |
| Woodland Drive | 0.63 | 55 | 8 | 46.7 | GOOD | 8 | 46.1 | GOOD | 16 | 40.1 | OKAY |
| Lee Road 212 | 2.99 | 55 | 0 | 55.2 | GOOD | 3 | 54.2 | GOOD | 14 | 51.4 | GOOD |
| Jowers Road | 2.51 | 55 | -4 | 56.5 | GOOD | -13 | 60.1 | GOOD | 11 | 51.5 | GOOD |

A-39
U.S. HWY 80 and 13th STREET

CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 AM PEAK CONGESTION


A-40
U.S. HWY 80 and 13th STREET CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Summerville Road | 1.24 | 65 | 2 | 55.3 | GOOD | 2 | 55.7 | GOOD | 0 | 56.7 | GOOD |
| 2nd Ave. (south) | 1.81 | 65 | -3 | 67.6 | GOOD | -1 | 66 | GOOD | -3 | 67.7 | GOOD |
| River Road | 0.33 | 65 | -1 | 65.4 | GOOD | -1 | 62.9 | GOOD | -2 | 68.4 | GOOD |
| Bradley Park Drive | 1.57 | 65 | -3 | 67.2 | GOOD | -4 | 68.3 | GOOD | -6 | 69.7 | GOOD |
| I-185 | 1.32 | 65 | -5 | 69.3 | GOOD | -4 | 67.6 | GOOD | -7 | 70.7 | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy | 0.64 | 65 | -2 | 69.9 | GOOD | -2 | 67.8 | GOOD | -3 | 70.1 | GOOD |
| Moon Road | 1.46 | 65 | -7 | 71.3 | GOOD | -6 | 69.7 | GOOD | -7 | 70.8 | GOOD |
| Blackmon Rd | 1.68 | 65 | -7 | 69.9 | GOOD | -5 | 69 | GOOD | -8 | 71 | GOOD |
| Flat Rock Rd/ Swift Mill | 1.91 | 55 | -12 | 60.6 | GOOD | -18 | 63.9 | GOOD | -16 | 62.5 | GOOD |
| Flat Rock Rd/ Beaver Run | 0.09 | 55 | 1 | 47.1 | GOOD | 1 | 51.3 | GOOD | 1 | 47 | GOOD |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds | Speed (mph | Congestion | Delay(seconds) Speed (mph) |  | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Flat Rock Rd/ Swift Mill | 0.09 | - 55 | 9 | 33.4 | MARGINAL | -3 | 44.1 | OK | 15 | 31.6 | MARGINAL |
| Blackmon Rd | 1.91 | 55 | -19 | 64.6 | GOOD | -18 | 64.4 | GOOD | -16 | 63.1 | GOOD |
| Moon Road | 1.68 | 55 | -23 | 69.7 | GOOD | -21 | 68.3 | GOOD | -22 | 68.9 | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy | 1.46 | 65 | -7 | 70.9 | GOOD | -3 | 67.5 | GOOD | -4 | 68.6 | GOOD |
| to I-185 | 0.64 | 65 | -2 | 69.5 | GOOD | -2 | 68.3 | GOOD | -2 | 69.1 | GOOD |
| Bradley Park Drive | 1.32 | 65 | -5 | 69.8 | GOOD | -3 | 68.1 | GOOD | -4 | 69 | GOOD |
| River Road | 1.57 | 65 | -6 | 69.4 | GOOD | -4 | 68.5 | GOOD | -4 | 67.9 | GOOD |
| 2nd Ave. (south) | 0.33 | 65 | -2 | 66 | GOOD | -2 | 67.8 | GOOD | -2 | 67.2 | GOOD |
| Summerville Road | 1.81 | 65 | -1 | 66.1 | GOOD | 1 | 64.2 | GOOD | -1 | 65.5 | GOOD |
| US 280 | 1.24 | 65 | 14 | 53.9 | GOOD | 12 | 55.3 | GOOD | 34 | 44.2 | OK |

## A-42



COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY
ETROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANUZATION
J.R. ALLEN PARKWAY (US 80)

CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
US 280 TO FLAT ROCK ROAD
PM PEAK CONGESTION


> US 280—ALABAMA CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Victory Drive | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/ Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | A | - | A | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imp. | A | - | A | - | - |
| Growth Management | A | - | A | - | - |
| Access Management | A | - | A | - | - |
| Non Motorized Modes | A | - | A | - | - |

US 280—ALABAMA CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

## LEE ROAD TO VETERANS PARKWAY



## A-45

## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METR OPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/
US 280 - ALABAMA
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION


US 280—ALABAMA CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


VETERANS PARKWAY
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
NORTHBOUND DIRECTION
4TH STREET TO ALMOND ROAD

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| 9th Street | 0.66 | 30 | -2 | 31.9 | GOOD | 10 | 29.4 | GOOD | 2 | 29.5 | GOOD |
| 13th Street | 0.52 | 30 | -4 | 33.5 | GOOD | 52 | 18.2 | MARGINAL | 78 | 13.8 | CONGESTED |
| 16th Street | 0.27 | 30 | 1 | 32.3 | GOOD | 17 | 23 | OK | 12 | 23 | OK |
| 19th Street | 0.65 | 40 | 8 | 42.3 | GOOD | 22 | 23.3 | OK | -1 | 35 | GOOD |
| 23rd Street | 0.16 | 45 | -1 | 47.2 | GOOD | 10 | 30.5 | OK | 6 | 40.5 | GOOD |
| 29th Street | 0.35 | 45 | 11 | 44.3 | GOOD | 2 | 42.9 | GOOD | -2 | 49.5 | GOOD |
| River Road | 0.38 | 45 | 31 | 23.7 | MARGINAL | 19 | 34.4 | OK | 24 | 37.1 | GOOD |
| Neil Drive | 0.32 | 45 | 4 | 44.2 | GOOD | 16 | 34.9 | OK | 14 | 36.1 | OK |
| Manchester Expwy | 0.63 | 45 | -12 | 41.6 | GOOD | 6 | 39.8 | GOOD | 24 | 26.4 | MARGINAL |
| 50th Street | 0.39 | 45 | 22 | 26.7 | MARGINAL | 3 | 40.7 | GOOD | 3 | 40.5 | GOOD |
| Airport Thruway | 0.66 | 45 | 44 | 28 | MARGINAL | 46 | 29.5 | OK | 78 | 20.5 | SERIOUS |
| Whitesville Road | 0.46 | 45 | 1 | 43.2 | GOOD | 13 | 32 | OK | 25 | 25.5 | MARGINAL |
| W. Britt David | 0.25 | 45 | 8 | 47 | GOOD | 6 | 37.7 | GOOD | 57 | 15.3 | SERIOUS |
| Whittlesey Road | 0.75 | 45 | -5 | 49.2 | GOOD | 74 | 20.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CON- } \\ \text { GESTED } \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 28.1 | MARGINAL |
| US 80 Southbound Ramps | 0.55 | 45 | -1 | 46.2 | GOOD | 5 | 40.8 | GOOD | 29 | 28.4 | MARGINAL |
| Double Churches Rd. | 0.32 | 45 | 48 | 16.6 | SERIOUS | 36 | 29.7 | OK | 20 | 29.2 | MARGINAL |
| Williams Road | 1.09 | 45 | 37 | 33.9 | OK | 29 | 34.9 | OK | 20 | 38.1 | GOOD |
| Hancock Road | 1.26 | 50 | 90 | 36.4 | OK | 9 | 45.6 | GOOD | 24 | 40.9 | GOOD |
| Pierce Chapel Road | 0.99 | 55 | 6 | 50.3 | GOOD | 6 | 50.5 | GOOD | 9 | 48.5 | GOOD |
| Wooldridge Road | 0.61 | 55 | 4 | 49.3 | GOOD | 4 | 48.8 | GOOD | 11 | 41.6 | OK |
| Almond Road | 1 |  | 2 | 53.8 | GOOD | 4 | 52.1 | GOOD | 11 | 49.9 | GOOD |

VETERANS PARKWAY
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
SOUTHBOUND DIRECTION
ALMOND ROAD TO 4TH STREET


A-49

## VETERANS PARKWAY

 CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007AM PEAK CONGESTION NORTH SECTION
ALMOND RD TO AIRPORT THRUWAY



VETERANS PARKWAY CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007

PM PEAK CONGESTION
NORTH SECTION
AIRPORT THRUWAY TO ALMOND ROAD


## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION.

## VETERANS PARKWAY

CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION
SOUTH SECTION
4TH STREET TO AIRPORT THRUWAY


VICTORY DRIVE CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

Mitigation Strategies and Associated Impact on CMP Performance Measures

| Victory Drive | Travel Time | V/C Ratio | Arterial/ Intersection LOS | Transit System Measures | Incident Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TDM Measures | A | - | - | - | - |
| Traffic Oper. Imp. | A | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Growth Management | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Access Management | - | A | A | - | A |
| Non Motorized Modes | A | - | A | - | - |

VICTORY DRIVE
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007


A-54



WARM SPRINGS ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
EASTBOUND TRAFFIC
VETERANS PKWY TO COUNTY LINE RD

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Free Flow } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| 12th Avenue | 0.78 | 30 | 6 | 29 | GOOD | 61 | 18.9 | MARGINAL | 61 | 18.9 | MARGINAL |
| 17th Avenue | 0.68 | 30 | -17 | 38.6 | GOOD | 46 | 21.4 | OK | 46 | 21.4 | OK |
| Hilton Avenue | 0.61 | 30 | 2 | 32.1 | GOOD | 18 | 27.2 | GOOD | 18 | 27.2 | GOOD |
| Armour Road | 0.45 | 40 | 24 | 27.2 | OK | 37 | 26.1 | OK | 37 | 26.1 | OK |
| 1-185 (overpass) | 0.29 | 40 | 8 | 37 | GOOD | 26 | 25.2 | MARGINAL | 26 | 25.2 | MARGINAL |
| Manchester Expwy | 1.15 | 40 | 41 | 31.4 | OK | 83 | 25.1 | MARGINAL | 83 | 25.1 | MARGINAL |
| Manchester Expwy | 1.01 | 35 | 4 | 36.9 | GOOD | 18 | 32.5 | GOOD | 18 | 32.5 | GOOD |
| Miller Rd. | 1.11 | 35 | -53 | 36.1 | GOOD | -14 | 21.8 | MARGINAL | -14 | 21.8 | MARGINAL |
| Blackmon Rd. | 1.08 | 40 | -8 | 43.9 | GOOD | -5 | 42.8 | GOOD | -5 | 42.8 | GOOD |
| Schomburg Rd. | 1.17 | 40 | -12 | 45.7 | GOOD | -15 | 46.8 | GOOD | -15 | 46.8 | GOOD |
| US 80 | 0.86 | 40 | -15 | 49.9 | GOOD | -8 | 44.8 | GOOD | -8 | 44.8 | GOOD |
| Pierce Chapel Rd. | 0.51 | 40 | -5 | 46.5 | GOOD | -10 | 51.6 | GOOD | -10 | 51.6 | GOOD |
| Lynch Rd. | 2.02 | 45 | -8 | 47.9 | GOOD | -19 | 51 | GOOD | -19 | 51 | GOOD |
| County Line Rd. | 0.70 | 45 | -1 | 49 | GOOD | -3 | 50.1 | GOOD | -3 | 50.1 | GOOD |

WARM SPRINGS ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
WESTBOUND TRAFFIC
COUNTY LINE ROAD TO VETERANS PKWY

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period Westbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Westbound |  |  | PM Peak Period Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (miles) | Free Flow (mph) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (seconds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| County Line Rd. | 0.70 | 45 | -5 | 52.2 | GOOD | -5 | 51.7 | GOOD | -5 | 51.7 | GOOD |
| Lynch Rd. | 2.02 | 45 | -2 | 46.8 | GOOD | -21 | 51.8 | GOOD | -21 | 51.8 | GOOD |
| Pierce Chapel Rd. | 0.51 | 40 | 1 | 41.2 | GOOD | -9 | 49.7 | GOOD | -9 | 49.7 | GOOD |
| Schomburg Rd. | 0.86 | 40 | -8 | 44.3 | GOOD | -14 | 48.9 | GOOD | -14 | 48.9 | GOOD |
| Blackmon Rd. | 1.17 | 40 | 4 | 40.2 | GOOD | -10 | 45 | GOOD | -10 | 45 | GOOD |
| Miller Rd. | 1.08 | 40 | 17 | 34.6 | GOOD | 2 | 40.1 | GOOD | 2 | 40.1 | GOOD |
| Manchester Expwy | 1.11 | 40 | -35 | 33.4 | GOOD | -34 | 33.3 | GOOD | -34 | 33.3 | GOOD |
| Manchester Expwy | 1.01 | 35 | -11 | 40.6 | GOOD | -2 | 37.6 | GOOD | -2 | 37.6 | GOOD |
| 1-185 (overpass) | 1.15 | 35 | 42 | 30 | GOOD | 19 | 33.1 | GOOD | 19 | 33.1 | GOOD |
| Armour Road | 0.29 | 40 | 33 | 24.3 | MARGINAL | 30 | 24.8 | MARGINAL | 30 | 24.8 | MARGINAL |
| Hilton Avenue | 0.45 | 40 | 15 | 32.4 | GOOD | 19 | 29 | OK | 19 | 29 | OK |
| 17th Avenue | 0.61 | 40 | 18 | 31.8 | OK | 46 | 23 | MARGINAL | 46 | 23 | MARGINAL |
| 12th Avenue | 0.68 | 30 | -5 | 32.2 | GOOD | 18 | 25.2 | GOOD | 18 | 25.2 | GOOD |
| Veterans Parkway | 0.78 | 30 | 63 | 18 | MARGINAL | 48 | 20.3 | OK | 48 | 20.3 | OK |

CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION



WHITESVILLE ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
WILLIAMS ROAD TO AIRPORT THRUWAY


WHITESVILLE ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION


WHITESVILLE ROAD
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
PM PEAK CONGESTION


WHITTLESEY ROAD
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
BRADLEY PARK DRIVE TO VETERANS PARKWAY

|  |  |  | AM Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  | Off Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  | PM Peak Period <br> Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distance | Free Flow | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion |
|  | (miles) | (mph) | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  |
| Whitesville Rd | 0.6 | 30 | 10 | 26 | GOOD | 8 | 27.3 | GOOD | 16 | 24.9 | GOOD |
| Bradley Park | 0.28 | 35 | 26 | 21.8 | MARGINAL | 7 | 30.4 | GOOD | 15 | 25 | OK |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.41 | 35 | 20 | 26.9 | OK | 51 | 19.4 | MARGINAL | 176 | 7.4 | SERIOUS |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Period |  |  | Off Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |
|  |  |  |  | estbound |  |  | estboun |  |  | estboun |  |
|  | Distance | Free Flow | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion | Delay | Speed | Congestion |
|  | (miles) | (mph) | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  | (seconds) | (mph) |  |
| Veterans Pkwy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradley Park | 0.41 | 35 | 5 | 31.9 | GOOD | 14 | 27.8 | OK | 21 | 24 | OK |
| Whitesville | 0.28 | 35 | 37 | 17.1 | CONGESTED | 22 | 24.9 | OK | 54 | 15.5 | CONGESTED |
| Bradley Park | 0.6 | 30 | 15 | 25.6 | GOOD | 21 | 24.3 | GOOD | 39 | 19.8 | OK |

COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION/

WHITTLESEY ROAD CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION



## COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY

## WHITTLESEY BOULEVARD <br> CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007

BRADLEY PARK DRIVE TO VETERANS PARKWAY

| Eastbound from Veterans Parkway to Moon Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | Off Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
|  | Design Speed (mph) | Delay (seconds) | Average Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay | Average Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Average Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Main Street | 35 | 19 | 17.8 | CONGESTED | 43 | 12.6 | SERIOUS | 36 | 11.7 | SERIOUS |
| Adams Farm Road | 35 | -26 | 33.7 | GOOD | -29 | 36.7 | GOOD | -28 | 36.2 | GOOD |
| CPC \#2 | 35 | -1 | 36.9 | GOOD | 7 | 31 | GOOD | 8 | 28.9 | GOOD |
| CPC \#3 | 35 | -1 | 36.9 | GOOD | 7 | 31 | GOOD | 8 | 28.9 | GOOD |
| CPC \#4 | 35 | -1 | 37.9 | GOOD | 9 | 27.1 | OK | 9 | 25.6 | OK |
| CPC \#5 | 35 | -1 | 37.6 | GOOD | 17 | 20.8 | MARGINAL | -1 | 38.6 | GOOD |
| Moon Road | 35 | 31 | 28.4 | GOOD | 37 | 28.2 | GOOD | 33 | 28.4 | GOOD |

Westbound from Moon Road to Veterans Parkway

|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | Off Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Delay <br> (seconds) | Average <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Congestion | Delay | Average <br> Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay <br> (seconds) | Average <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Congestion |
| CPC \#5 | 35 | -22 | 37.3 | GOOD | -24 | 38.3 | GOOD | -13 | 34.4 | GOOD |
| CPC \#4 | 35 | -6 | 39.9 | GOOD | 1 | 31.1 | GOOD | 37 | 10.2 | SERIOUS |
| CPC \#3 | 35 | 6 | 25.8 | OK | -1 | 33.8 | GOOD | 19 | 20.7 | MARGINAL |
| CPC \#2 | 35 | -2 | 35.2 | GOOD | 4 | 29.3 | GOOD | 1 | 28.2 | GOOD |
| Adams Farm Road | 35 | -7 | 40 | GOOD | 10 | 21 | MARGINAL | 4 | 26.8 | OK |
| Main Street | 35 | -44 | 35 | GOOD | -15 | 21.9 | MARGINAL | -18 | 21.4 | MARGINAL |
| Veterans Pkwy | 35 | 18 | 26.7 | OK | 11 | 23.4 | OK | 17 | 18.7 | MARGINAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




WILLIAMS AND MOON ROADS
CMP STUDY-SPRING 2007
WHITESVILLE ROAD TO MILLER ROAD

|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Free Flow } \\ \text { (mph) } \end{gathered}$ | AM PeakPeriodSouthbound |  |  | Off Peak Period Southbound |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM Peak } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Southbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (mph) } \end{aligned}$ | Congestion |
| I-185 | 0.48 | 45 | 20 | 31 | OK | 6 | 41.1 | GOOD | 22 | 30.4 | OK |
| Fortson Road | 0.79 | 45 | 16 | 36 | OK | 0 | 45.5 | GOOD | 16 | 36.7 | GOOD |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.72 | 45 | 83 | 21.4 | MARGINAL | 51 | 24.8 | MARGINAL | 49 | 25.9 | MARGINAL |
| US 80 | 0.97 | 40 | 15 | 37.6 | GOOD | 7 | 41.8 | GOOD | 66 | 28.1 | OK |
| Weems Road | 0.97 | 35 | 38 | 33.1 | GOOD | 38 | 30.7 | GOOD | 160 | 16.1 | CONGESTED |
| Miller Road | 0.82 | 35 | -6 | 38.6 | GOOD | -8 | 39.6 | GOOD | 20 | 28.7 | GOOD |


|  | Distance (miles) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Free Flow } \\ \text { (mph) } \end{gathered}$ | AM PeakPeriodNorthbound |  |  | $\qquad$ Period Northbound |  |  | PM PeakPeriodNorthbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion | Delay (seconds) | Speed (mph) | Congestion |
| Weems Road | 0.82 | 35 | 8 | 33.1 | GOOD | -4 | 37.7 | GOOD | 20 | 29.4 | GOOD |
| US 80 | 0.97 | 35 | 19 | 31 | GOOD | 31 | 27 | OK | 64 | 23.7 | OK |
| Veterans Pkwy. | 0.97 | 40 | 97 | 21.6 | MARGINAL | 31 | 33.5 | GOOD | 58 | 28.4 | OK |
| Fortson Road | 0.72 | 45 | 16 | 35.8 | OK | 23 | 33.7 | OK | 19 | 34.8 | OK |
| 1-185 | 0.79 | 45 | 6 | 41.5 | GOOD | 5 | 41.6 | GOOD | 3 | 43.4 | GOOD |
| Whitesville Road | 0.48 | 45 | 14 | 34.9 | OK | 12 | 37.3 | GOOD | 19 | 32.8 | OK |

## A-70

WILLIAMS AND MOON ROADS
CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007
AM PEAK CONGESTION


WILLIAMS AND MOON ROADS CMP STUDY—SPRING 2007 PM PEAK CONGESTION


APPENDIX B STRATEGIES FOR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS




[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Secondary measure selected for the Columbus Area Congestion Management Process
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Primary measure selected for the Columbus Area Congestion Management Process
    ${ }^{5} 2000$ Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 209, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC.

